

# *Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan 2021 Annual Report*

---

*Site C Clean Energy Project  
21 April 2022*

# Table of Contents

1.0 Introduction .....	5
2.0 Objective and Scope .....	5
3.0 Consultation .....	15
3.1 Canadian Wildlife Services.....	15
3.2 Consultation with the Province.....	15
4.0 Qualified professionals .....	16
5.0 Structure and Content .....	18
6.0 Implementation of Mitigation and Monitoring Measures – Federal Decision Statement Conditions .....	18
6.1 Federal Decision Statement Condition 9: Migratory Bird Mitigation and Monitoring.....	19
6.1.1 Condition 9.1.....	19
6.1.2 Condition 9.2.....	20
6.1.3 Condition 9.3.....	20
6.1.4 Condition 9.9.2.....	21
6.2 Federal Decision Statement Condition 10: Non-Wetland Migratory Bird Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring.....	22
6.2.1 Condition 10.3.1.....	23
6.2.2 Condition 10.3.2.....	23
6.2.3 Condition 10.3.3.....	23
6.2.4 Condition 10.3.4.....	25
6.2.5 Condition 10.3.5.....	25
6.2.6 Condition 10.3.6.....	25
6.3 Federal Decision Statement Condition 11: Wetland Mitigation and Monitoring.....	25
6.3.1 Condition 11.1.....	27
6.3.2 Condition 11.2.....	27
6.4 Federal Decision Statement Condition 16: Species at Risk Mitigation and Monitoring....	30
6.4.1 Condition 16.3.3.....	31
6.4.2 Condition 16.3.4.....	33
6.4.3 Condition 16.3.6.....	33
6.4.4 Condition 16.3.7.....	35

7.0 Mitigation and Monitoring Measures-Environmental Assessment Certificate Conditions .....	37
7.1 EAC Condition 9.....	37
7.1.1 Invasive Plant Control.....	38
7.1.2 Inventory areas not already surveyed .....	38
7.1.3 Spatial database of known rare plant occurrences.....	39
7.1.4 Rare plant avoidance.....	39
7.1.5 Protect tufa seeps, wetlands and rare plants located adjacent to construction areas.....	40
7.1.6 Experimental Rare Plant Translocation Program .....	40
7.3 EAC Condition 11 .....	40
7.3.1 Habitat Enhancement Projects in the RAA .....	41
7.3.2 Direct purchase of lands in the RAA to enhance or retain rare plant values.....	41
7.3.3 Engaging with FLNRORD, MOECCS and Indigenous Groups.....	41
7.4 EAC Condition 12 .....	42
7.4.1 Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan.....	43
7.5 EAC Condition 14 .....	44
7.5.1 Definition of the study design for the Experimental Rare Plant Translocation Program .....	45
7.5.2 Plan for monitoring translocations .....	47
7.5.3 Measurement criteria for effectiveness monitoring of habitat enhancement and compensation programs.....	47
7.6 EAC Condition 15 .....	48
7.6.1 Measures to avoid, if feasible constructing in sensitive wildlife habitats.....	48
7.6.2 Setback buffers to avoid direct impacts to sensitive habitats.....	49
7.6.3 Mitigation of adverse effects to wildlife and wildlife habitat.....	49
7.6.4 Protocol to ensure that lighting is focused on work sites.....	50
7.6.5 Environmental training of workers.....	50
7.7 EAC Condition 16 .....	50
7.7.1 Wetland compensation that includes fish-free areas.....	51
7.7.2 Mitigation for the loss of snake hibernacula.....	51
7.7.3 Nest boxes for cavity-nesting waterfowl .....	51
7.7.4 A design for bat roosting habitat in HWY 29 bridges.....	51
7.7.5 Creation of hibernating and roosting sites for bats .....	52
7.7.6 Resting sites for fisher .....	53
7.9 EAC Condition 21 .....	54

7.9.1 Monitoring of Bald Eagle nesting populations.....	55
7.9.2 Monitoring waterfowl and shorebird populations.....	55
7.9.3 Monitor amphibian use of migration crossing structures installed along Project roads55	
7.9.4 Survey songbird and ground-nesting raptor populations during construction and operations.....	55
7.9.5 Annual reporting beginning 180 days following commencement of construction.....	56
7.10 Status of listed species.....	56
7.10.1 Rare Plants.....	56
7.10.2 Wildlife.....	56
7.11 Ungulate Winter Range.....	56

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Federal Decision Statement conditions and associated annual report sections.....	5
Table 2. Environmental Assessment Certificate conditions and associated annual report sections.....	8
Table 3. Status of Schedule A Program Area Plans as of 31 December 2021.....	15
Table 4. Qualified Professionals involved in development and implementation of programs in 2021.....	16
Table 5. Summary of programs not implemented in 2021.....	18

### LIST OF APPENDICIES

Appendix 1. Site C Clean Energy Project Construction Schedule	
Appendix 2. Breeding Bird Follow-up Monitoring - Songbirds 2021 Annual Report	
Appendix 3. Waterbird Migration Follow-up Monitoring Program 2021 Annual Report	
Appendix 4. Migratory Bird Nest Monitoring Program 2021 Annual Report	
Appendix 5. Wetland Monitoring 2021 Field Summary Report	
Appendix 6. 2021 Annual Report Pre-construction Rare Plant Surveys	
Appendix 7. Portage Mountain Bat Studies: 2020 and 2021 Annual Report	
Appendix 8. Experimental Rare Plant Translocation Program 2021 Annual Report	
Appendix 9. Gartersnake Artificial Hibernacula Monitoring 2021	
Appendix 10. Cavity Nesting Mitigation and Monitoring Program – 2021 Annual Report	
Appendix 11. Bald Eagle Nest Surveys - Summary for 2021	
Appendix 12. Ground Nesting Raptor Monitoring 2021 Annual Report	

## 1.0 Introduction

The Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan (VWMMP) describes the measures that will be used to mitigate the adverse effects of the Site C Clean Energy Project (the Project) on vegetation and ecological communities and wildlife resources during the construction and operation of the Project. The Plan was developed in accordance with the conditions of the Project's provincial Environmental Assessment Certificate (EAC #E14-02, or 'the EAC') and Federal Decision Statement (FDS) issued for the Project in 2014. The draft and first revisions of the VWMMP were submitted to regulatory agencies and Aboriginal Groups for review and feedback on 17 October 2014, and 7 April 2015, respectively. The final VWMMP was submitted to the same recipients on 5 June 2015, and is posted on the Site C Project website at [https://www.sitecproject.com/sites/default/files/Veg\\_and\\_Wildlife\\_Mit\\_and\\_Mon\\_Plan.pdf](https://www.sitecproject.com/sites/default/files/Veg_and_Wildlife_Mit_and_Mon_Plan.pdf).

The purpose of this annual report is to describe the mitigation and monitoring measures that are described in the VWMMP and were implemented in 2021.

## 2.0 Objective and Scope

The objective of this VWMMP Annual Report (the Report) is to describe the mitigation and monitoring measures implemented in 2021 to meet the requirements of FDS conditions 9, 10, 11, 16 and 18 and EAC conditions 9 to 12, 14 to 16, 19, 21, 23, and 24. These conditions, and where they are addressed in current or past VWMMP Annual Reports are listed in Tables 1 and 2 below.

The requirements of EAC conditions 8 and 13 (for Vegetation and Ecological Communities), and conditions 17, 18, 20, and 22 (for Wildlife Resources) are addressed in the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) and/or the Vegetation Clearing and Debris Management Plan (VCDMP). Therefore, those conditions are not addressed in this report.

**Table 1. Federal Decision Statement conditions and associated annual report sections**

FDS Condition	Condition	Report Section
9	Disturbance and destruction of migratory birds	Section 6.1
9.1	The Proponent shall ensure that the Designated Project is carried out in a manner that avoids mortality and disturbance of migratory birds and their nests.	Section 6.1.1
9.2	The Proponent shall prepare and submit to the Agency an annual schedule, describing the location and timing for construction and reservoir filling activities, 90 days prior to initiating any of these activities.	Section 6.1.2
9.3	The Proponent shall develop, in consultation with Environment Canada, a plan to monitor and mitigate potential disturbance of breeding migratory birds in and adjacent to the Project Activity Zone, including the area immediately downstream of the dam where risks to migratory bird nests could occur, during construction, reservoir filling and	Section 6.1.3

<b>FDS Condition</b>	<b>Condition</b>	<b>Report Section</b>
	operation.	
9.9	The Proponent shall address potential risks of bird collisions with the transmission line, in consultation with Environment Canada, by:	
9.9.1	conducting a risk assessment for bird collisions under the current transmission line design;	2016 Annual Report (Section 6.1.3)
9.9.2	determining if additional mitigation measures could be implemented to reduce the risk of bird collisions;	Section 6.1.4
10	Non-wetland migratory bird habitat	Section 6.2
10.2	The Proponent shall develop, in consultation with Environment Canada, a plan that addresses potential effects of the Designated Project on non-wetland migratory bird habitat.	
10.3	The plan shall include:	
10.3.1	non-wetland migratory bird habitat baseline conditions for habitat that would be permanently lost, habitat that would be fragmented and habitat that would remain intact;	Section 6.2.1
10.3.2	migratory bird abundance, distribution and use of non-wetland habitat;	Section 6.2.2
10.3.3	measures to mitigate the changes in aquatic and riparian-related food resources and other habitat features associated with a change from a fluvial to a reservoir system;	Section 6.2.3
10.3.4	compensation measures to address the unavoidable loss of non-wetland migratory bird habitat, including habitat associated with the Canada Warbler, the Cape May Warbler and the Bay-Breasted Warbler;	Section 6.2.4
10.3.5	an analysis of the effects of any compensation measures identified in condition 10.3.4 on the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes by Aboriginal peoples; and	Section 6.2.5
10.3.6	an approach to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of the mitigation or compensation measures to be implemented and to verify the accuracy of the predictions made during the environmental assessment on non-wetland migratory bird habitat, including migratory bird use of that habitat.	Section 6.2.6
11	Wetlands used by migratory birds and for current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes	Section 6.3
11.1	The Proponent shall mitigate the potential effects of the Designated Project on wetland habitat used by migratory birds, species at risk and for current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes by Aboriginal people.	Section 6.3.1
11.2	The Proponent shall develop, in consultation with Environment Canada, Reservoir Area Aboriginal groups and Immediate Downstream	Section 6.3.2

FDS Condition	Condition	Report Section
	Aboriginal groups, a plan that addresses potential effects of the Designated Project on wetland habitat used by migratory birds, species at risk and for current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes.	
11.3	The Proponent shall, in developing the plan, describe how the mitigation hierarchy and the objective of no net loss of wetland functions were considered.	Section 6.3.3
11.4	The plan shall include:	
11.4.1	baseline data on the biogeochemical, hydrological and ecological functioning of the wetlands and associated riparian habitat in the area affected by the Designated Project, including: ground and surface water quality and quantity; vegetation cover; biotic structure and diversity; migratory bird abundance, density, diversity and use; species at risk abundance, density, diversity and use; and current use of the wetlands for traditional purposes by Aboriginal people, including the plant and wildlife species that support that use	Section 6.3.4
11.4.2	mitigation measures to maintain baseline wetland functions for those wetlands that will not be permanently lost;	Section 6.3.5
11.4.3	an approach to monitor and evaluate any changes to baseline conditions, as defined in condition 11.4.1 and identify improvements based on monitoring data;	Section 6.3.6
11.4.4	compensation measures to address the unavoidable loss of wetland areas and functions supporting migratory birds, species at risk, and the current use of lands and resources by Aboriginal people in support of the objective of full replacement of wetlands in terms of area and function	Section 6.3.7
11.8	The Proponent shall commence the implementation of the compensation measures specified in condition 11.4.4 no later than five years from the initiation of construction.	Section 6.3.8
11.9	The Proponent shall implement each component of the plan and provide to the Agency an analysis and summary of the implementation of the plan, as well as any amendments made to the plan in response to the results, on an annual basis during construction and at the end of year 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 30 of operation.	Section 6.3.9
16	Species at risk, at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants	
16.1	The Proponent shall ensure that potential effects of the Designated Project on species at risk, at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants are addressed and monitored.	Section 6.4
16.2	The Proponent shall develop, in consultation with Environment Canada, a plan setting out measures to address potential effects of the Designated Project on species at risk, at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants.	Section 6.4

<b>FDS Condition</b>	<b>Condition</b>	<b>Report Section</b>
16.3	The plan shall include:	
16.3.1	field work to verify the modeled results for surveyed species at risk and determine the habitat that would be permanently lost, habitat that would be fragmented and habitat that would remain intact for those species, including the Short-eared Owl, the Western Toad and the Myotis Bat species	2015 Annual Report (Section 6.4.1)
16.3.2	surveys to determine whether the rare plant species potentially facing extirpation in the Project Activity Zone are found elsewhere in the region	2017 Annual Report (Section 6.4.1; Section 7.2.1; Appendix 9)
16.3.3	measures to mitigate environmental effects on species at risk and at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants;	Section 6.4.1
16.3.4	conservation measures to ensure the viability of rare plants, such as seed recovery and plant relocation;	Section 6.4.2
16.3.5	an approach to avoiding or minimizing the use of herbicides and pesticides in areas that could impact species at risk, at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants;	2017 Annual Report (Section 6.4.4)
16.3.6	an approach to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of mitigation measures and to verify the accuracy of the predictions made during the environmental assessment on species at risk, at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants; and	Section 6.4.3
16.3.7	an approach for tracking updates to the status of listed species identified by the Government of British Columbia, Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, and the Species at Risk Act, and implementation of additional measures, in accordance with species recovery plans, to mitigate effects of the Designated Project on the affected species should the status of a listed species change during the life of the Designated Project.	Section 6.4.4

**Table 2. Environmental Assessment Certificate conditions and associated annual report sections**

<b>EAC Condition</b>	<b>Condition</b>	<b>Report Section</b>
<b>Vegetation and Ecological Communities</b>		
9	The EAC Holder must develop a Vegetation and Invasive Plant Management Plan to protect ecosystems, plant habitats, plant communities, and vegetation with components applicable to the construction phase.	Section 7.1
	The Vegetation and Invasive Plant Management Plan must include at least the following:	



EAC Condition	Condition	Report Section
	<b>Invasive Species</b>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Surveys of existing invasive species populations prior to construction.</li> </ul>	2015 Annual Report (Section 7.1.1)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Invasive plant control measures to manage established invasive species populations and to prevent invasive species establishment.</li> </ul>	Section 7.1.1
	<b>Rare Plants and Sensitive Ecosystems</b>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The EAC Holder must expand its modelling, including completing field work, to improve identification of rare and sensitive plant communities and aid in delineation of habitats that may require extra care, 90 days prior to any Project activities that may affect these rare or sensitive plant communities</li> </ul>	2015 Annual Report (Section 7.1.3)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The EAC Holder must, with the use of a QEP, complete an inventory in areas not already surveyed and use rare plant location information as inputs to final design of access roads and transmission lines. These pre- construction surveys must target rare plants as defined in Section 13.2.2 of the EIS—including vascular plants, mosses, and lichens.</li> </ul>	Section 7.1.2
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The EAC Holder must create and maintain a spatial database of known rare plant occurrences in the vicinity of Project components that must be searched to avoid effects to rare plants during construction activities. The database must be updated as new information becomes available and any findings of new rare plant species occurrences must be submitted to Environment Canada and MOE using provincial data collection standards.</li> </ul>	Section 7.1.3
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The EAC Holder must implement construction methods to reduce the impact to rare plants, maximize use of existing access corridors, and construct transmission towers and temporary roads away from wetlands and known rare plant occurrences.</li> </ul>	Section 7.1.4
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protect known occurrences of Tufa seeps, wetlands and rare plants located adjacent to construction areas. Install signage and flagging where necessary, as determined by the QEP, to indicate the boundaries of the exclusion area.</li> </ul>	Section 7.1.5
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The EAC Holder will engage the services of a Rare Plant Botanist during construction to design and</li> </ul>	Section 7.1.6

EAC Condition	Condition	Report Section
	implement an experimental rare plant translocation program in consultation with MOE using the BC MOE's Guidelines for Translocation of Plant Species at Risk in BC (Maslovat, 2009).	
10	The EAC Holder must fund or undertake directly with the use of a Rare Plant Botanist the following, during construction:	2017 Annual Report (Section 7.2)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Targeted surveys in the RAA (as defined in the amended EIS) to identify occurrences of the 18 directly affected rare plant species (as defined in the amended EIS), and rare plant species identified by the MOEs Conservation Framework requiring additional inventories</li> </ul>	2017 Annual Report (Section 7.2.1 and Appendix 9)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A study focused on clarifying the taxonomy of Ochroleucus bladderwort (<i>Utricularia ochroleuca</i>), including field, herbaria, and genetic work in consultation with FLNR and the MOE (BC Conservation Data Centre).</li> </ul>	2017 Annual Report (Section 7.2.2 and Appendix 10)
11	EAC Holder must compensate for the loss of rare and sensitive habitats and protect occurrences of rare plants by developing, or funding the development and implementation of a compensation program, during construction, that includes:	Section 7.3
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assistance (financial or in-kind) to the managing organization of suitable habitat enhancement projects in the RAA (RAA as defined in the amended EIS).</li> </ul>	Section 7.3.1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Direct purchase of lands in the RAA and manage these lands and suitable existing properties owned by the EAC Holder to enhance or retain rare plant values where opportunities exist.</li> </ul>	Section 7.3.2
	The EAC Holder must engage with FLNR, MOE and Aboriginal Groups with regard to the development of the compensation program.	Section 7.3.3
12	The EAC Holder must develop a Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan.	Section 7.4
	The Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan must include an assessment of wetland function lost as a result of the Project that is important to migratory birds and species at risk (wildlife and plants). The Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan must be developed by a QEP with experience in wetland enhancement, maintenance and development.	Section 7.4.1
	The Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan must	

EAC Condition	Condition	Report Section
	include at least the following:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Information on location, size and type of wetlands affected by the Project</li> </ul>	Section 7.4.1.1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If roads cannot avoid wetlands, culverts will be installed under access roads to maintain hydrological balance, and sedimentation barriers will be installed;</li> </ul>	2017 Annual Report (Section 7.3.1.2)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stormwater management will be designed to control runoff and direct it away from work areas where excavation, spoil placement, and staging activities occur.</li> </ul>	2017 Annual Report (Section 7.3.1.3)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop, with the assistance of a hydrologist, site-specific measures prior to construction to reduce changes to the existing hydrologic balance and wetland function during construction of the Jackfish Lake Road and Project access roads and transmission line.</li> </ul>	2017 Annual Report (Section 7.3.1.4)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All activities that involve potentially harmful or toxic substances, such as oil, fuel, antifreeze, and concrete, must follow approved work practices and consider the provincial BMP guidebook Develop with Care (BC Ministry of Environment 2012 or as amended from time to time).</li> </ul>	2017 Annual Report (Section 7.3.1.5)
14	<p>The EAC Holder must develop a Vegetation and Ecological Communities Monitoring and Follow-up Program for the construction phase and first 10 years of the operations phase. The Vegetation and Ecological Communities Monitoring and Follow-up Program must be developed by a QEP.</p> <p>The Vegetation and Ecological Communities Monitoring and Follow-up Program must include at least the following:</p>	Section 7.5
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Definition of the study design for the rare plant translocation program (see condition 9).</li> </ul>	7.5.1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Plan for following-up monitoring of any translocation sites to assess the survival and health of translocated rare plant species, under the supervision of a Rare Plant Botanist.</li> </ul>	7.5.2
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Measurement criteria, including vegetation growth, persistence of rare plants and establishment / spread of invasive plant species, and associated monitoring to document the effectiveness of habitat</li> </ul>	7.5.3

EAC Condition	Condition	Report Section
	enhancement and possible compensation programs.	
<b>Wildlife Resources</b>		
15	The Wildlife Management Plan must be developed by a QEP.	Section 4.0
	The Wildlife Management Plan must include at least the following:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Field work, conducted by a QEP, to verify the modelled results for surveyed species at risk and determine, with specificity and by ecosystem, the habitat lost or fragmented for those species. The EAC Holder must use these resulting data to inform final Project design and to develop additional mitigation measures, as needed, as part of the Wildlife Management Plan, in consultation with Environment Canada and FLNR.</li> </ul>	2015 Annual Report (Section 7.3.1)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Measures to avoid, if feasible, constructing in sensitive wildlife habitats. If avoiding sensitive wildlife habitats is not feasible, condition 16 applies.</li> </ul>	Section 7.6.1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If sensitive habitats, such as wetlands, are located immediately adjacent to any work site, buffer zones must be established by a QEP to avoid direct disturbance to these sites.</li> </ul>	Section 7.6.2
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protocol for the application of construction methods, equipment, material and timing of activities to mitigate adverse effects to wildlife and wildlife habitat.</li> </ul>	Section 7.6.3
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protocol to ensure that lighting is focused on work sites and away from surrounding areas to manage light pollution and disturbance to wildlife. If lighting cannot be directed away from surrounding areas, the EAC Holder must ensure additional mitigation measures are implemented to reduce light pollution, including light shielding.</li> </ul>	Section 7.6.4
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A mandatory environmental training program for all workers so that they are informed that hunting in the vicinity of any work site/Project housing site is strictly prohibited for all workers.</li> </ul> <p>The EAC Holder must ensure that all workers are familiar with the Wildlife Management Plan.</p>	Section 7.6.5
16	If loss of sensitive wildlife habitat or important wildlife areas cannot be avoided through Project design or otherwise mitigated, the EAC Holder must implement	Section 7.7

EAC Condition	Condition	Report Section
	the following measures, which must be described in the Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan.	
	The Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan must include the following compensation measures:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Compensation options for wetlands must include fish-free areas to manage the effects of fish predation on invertebrate and amphibian eggs and larvae and young birds.</li> </ul>	Section 7.7.1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mitigation for the loss of snake hibernacula, artificial dens must be included during habitat compensation.</li> </ul>	Section 7.7.2
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Management of EAC Holder-owned lands adjacent to the Peace River suitable as breeding habitat for Northern Harrier and Short-eared Owl.</li> </ul>	2017 Annual Report (Section 7.7.1)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Establishment of nest boxes for cavity-nesting waterfowl developed as part of wetland mitigation and compensation plan, and established within riparian vegetation zones established along the reservoir on BC Hydro-owned properties.</li> </ul>	Section 7.7.3
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A design for bat roosting habitat in HWY 29 bridges to BC Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) for consideration into new bridge designs located within the Peace River valley.</li> </ul>	Section 7.7.4
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Following rock extraction at Portage Mountain, creation of hibernating and roosting sites for bats.</li> </ul>	Section 7.7.5 VWMMP Section 8.7.6
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Creation of natural or artificial piles of coarse woody debris dispersed throughout the disturbed landscape to maintain foraging areas and cold-weather rest sites, and arboreal resting sites, for the fisher population south of the Peace River.</li> </ul>	Section 7.7.6
19	The EAC Holder must use reasonable efforts to avoid and reduce injury and mortality to amphibians and snakes on roads adjacent to wetlands and other areas where amphibians or snakes are known to migrate across roads including locations with structures designed for wildlife passage	Section 7.8
21	The EAC Holder must ensure that measures implemented to manage harmful Project effects on wildlife resources are effective by implementing monitoring measures detailed in a Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan.	Section 7.9
	The Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring	Section 4.0

EAC Condition	Condition	Report Section
	Plan must be developed by a QEP.	
	The Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan must include at least the following:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitor Bald Eagle nesting populations adjacent to the reservoir, including their use of artificial nest structures.</li> </ul>	Section 7.9.1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitor waterfowl and shorebird populations and their use of natural wetlands, created wetlands, and artificial wetland features.</li> </ul>	Section 7.9.2
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitor amphibian use of migration crossing structures installed along Project roads.</li> </ul>	Section 7.9.3
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Survey songbird and ground-nesting raptor populations during construction and operations</li> </ul>	Section 7.9.4
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Require annual reporting during the construction phase and during the first 10 years of operations to EAO, beginning 180 days following commencement of construction.</li> </ul>	Section 7.9.5
23	The EAC Holder must maintain current knowledge of Project effects on the status of listed species by tracking updates for species identified by the Province, the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, and the <i>Species at Risk Act</i> .	Section 7.10
24	The EAC Holder must identify suitable lands for ungulate winter range by the end of the first year of construction, on BC Hydro-owned lands, or Crown lands, in the vicinity of the Project in consultation with FLNR. If FLNR determines that identified winter range is required, the EAC Holder must identify and maintain suitable BC Hydro- owned lands for ungulate winter range to the satisfaction of FLNR and for the length of time determined by FLNR.	Section 7.11

### 3.0 Consultation

Consultation regarding the development and implementation of individual programs conducted in 2021 is provided below.

#### 3.1 Canadian Wildlife Services

In 2021 BC Hydro continued to consult with the Canadian Wildlife Service (CWS) during plan development and implementation. Consultation occurred primarily through the Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Technical Committee (VWTC), to which CWS, BC Hydro, and provincial agencies belong. The VWTC was established by the Comptroller of Water Rights under Conditional Water Licences 132990 and 132991 (see Section 3.2).

#### 3.2 Consultation with the Province

The VWTC was established by the Comptroller of Water Rights under Conditional Water Licences 132990 and 132991 to provide ongoing engagement between BC Hydro, Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy (MOECCS) and Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development (FLNRORD) with respect to the implementation of vegetation and wildlife mitigation and monitoring programs. The province requested that the VWTC be formed as a sub-committee of the existing BC and BC Hydro joint Fish / Hydro Management Committee. The Canadian Wildlife Service of Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) joined the VWTC in July 2016.

The VWTC met in person or via conference call 10 times from January through December 2021 to address the Program Areas laid out in Schedule A of Conditional Water Licenses 132990 and 132991. Table 3 summarizes the status of the Schedule A Program Areas as of 31 December 2021.

**Table 3.** Status of Schedule A Program Area Plans as of 31 December 2021.

Program Area Plans	Status
<b>Completed</b>	
1. Ungulates	Complete
2.1. Wetlands and Riparian Habitat: Wetland Function Assessment	Complete
2.2. Wetlands and Riparian Habitat: Downstream Vegetation Monitoring	Complete
4. Bats	Complete
5.1. Snakes – Downstream Monitoring	Complete
5.2. Snakes – Hibernacula Mitigation and Monitoring	Complete
6.1. Amphibians – Downstream Monitoring	Complete
6.2. Amphibians – Migration Mitigation	Complete
7. Eagles	Complete
8.3. Breeding and Migratory Birds – Common Nighthawk	Complete
9. Ground Nesting Raptors	Complete

Program Area Plans	Status
11.1. Rare Plants - Translocation	Complete
11.2. Rare Plants – Regional Surveys	Complete
12. Sharp-tailed Grouse	Complete
13. Lighting Effects	Complete
14. Carnivore Den Sites	Complete
15. Other Raptors	Complete
16. Other Species at Risk	Complete
17. Furbearers	Complete
18. Ungulate calving habitat	Complete
19. Mineral licks	Complete
20. Bear and carnivore habitats	Complete
<b>In Progress</b>	
3. Fisher	In progress
8.1. Breeding and Migratory Birds - Songbirds	In progress
8.2. Breeding and Migratory Birds – Waterbirds	In progress
8.4. Breeding and Migratory Birds – Woodpeckers	In progress
8.5. Breeding and Migratory Birds – Nest Monitoring	In progress
10. Cavity Nesting Species	In progress

## 4.0 Qualified professionals

The Qualified Professionals involved in the development and implementation of vegetation and wildlife mitigation and monitoring programs in 2021 are listed in Table 4.

**Table 4.** Qualified Professionals involved in development and implementation of programs in 2021

Qualified Professional	Area of Work
Brock Simons, M.Sc., R.P.Bio., BC Hydro	Vegetation and Wildlife
Natasha Bush, B.Sc. P.Ag., EcoLogic Consultants Ltd.	Experimental Rare Plant Translocation, Wetland Monitoring Program, Experimental Seeding and Planting Program for Butterfly Species at Risk.
Dan McAllister, M.Sc., P.Ag., EcoLogic	Experimental Rare Plant Translocation, Wetland Monitoring Program
Jamie Fenneman, Ph.D. R.P.Bio., EcoLogic	Experimental Rare Plant Translocation, Experimental Seeding and Planting Program for Butterfly Species at Risk.
Ryan Durand, M.Sc. R.P.Bio., EcoLogic	Experimental Rare Plant Translocation, Wetland Monitoring Program and Hwy 29
Jason Jones, Ph.D. R. P. Bio., P. Biol., EcoLogic	Experimental Rare Plant Translocation, Wetland Monitoring, Downstream Vegetation Monitoring, Experimental Seeding and Planting Program for Butterfly Species at Risk.



<b>Qualified Professional</b>	<b>Area of Work</b>
Katherine Garrah, M.Sc. A.Ag. EcoLogic	Experimental Rare Plant Translocation, Experimental Seeding and Planting Program for Butterfly Species at Risk.
Holly Buehler, MSc. EcoLogic	Experimental Rare Plant Translocation
Alice Lee, MSc. EcoLogic	Pre-construction Rare Plant Surveys and Experimental Rare Plant Translocation
Randy Krichbaum, M.Sc., P.Biol., R.P.Bio. - Eagle Cap Consulting Ltd.	Pre-construction Rare Plant Surveys and Experimental Rare Plant Translocation
Margaret Krichbaum, B.Sc.- Eagle Cap	Experimental Rare Plant Translocation, Wetland Monitoring Program, Experimental Seeding and Planting Program for Butterfly Species at Risk.
Jeff Matheson M.Sc., R.P.Bio., P.Biol., Tetra Tech Canada Inc.	Breeding bird and raptor monitoring
Claudio Bianchini, R.P. Bio., Bianchini Biological Services	Breeding bird and raptor monitoring
Elyse Hofs, B.Sc., Dipl.T., Tetra Tech Canada Inc.	Breeding bird and raptor monitoring
Charlie Palmer, M.Sc., P.Biol., R.P.Bio, Hemmera Envirochem Inc.	Cavity nesting bird mitigation, waterbird monitoring, Portage Mountain bat monitoring, bald eagle monitoring, swallow and kingfisher, migratory bird nest monitoring
Jay Brogan M.Sc., R.P.Bio., Hemmera Envirochem Inc.	Cavity nesting bird mitigation, waterbird monitoring, Portage Mountain bat monitoring, bald eagle monitoring, swallow and kingfisher monitoring, migratory bird nest monitoring, beaver monitoring
Ryan Gill, R.P.Bio., Hemmera Envirochem Inc.	Cavity nesting bird mitigation, waterbird monitoring, Portage Mountain bat monitoring, bald eagle monitoring, swallow and kingfisher monitoring, migratory bird nest monitoring, beaver monitoring
Brian Paterson, B.Sc., R.P.Bio,	Bald eagle monitoring, fisher den box monitoring, Portage Mountain bat monitoring
Toby St. Clair, M.Sc., Hemmera Envirochem Inc.	Waterbird monitoring, swallow and kingfisher monitoring, migratory bird nest monitoring
Felix Martinez-Nunez, M.Sc., R.P.Bio, Hemmera Envirochem Inc.	Waterbird monitoring, Portage Mountain bat monitoring, cavity nesting bird monitoring
Catherine Craig, M.Sc., R.P.Bio., Hemmera Envirochem Inc.	Waterbird monitoring, swallow and kingfisher monitoring, migratory bird nest monitoring
Lorraine Andrusiak, M.Sc., R.P.Bio., Hemmera Envirochem Inc.	Swallow and kingfisher monitoring, bald eagle monitoring, Portage Mountain bat monitoring, cavity nesting bird monitoring, waterbird monitoring
Dan Webster, B.Sc., P.Ag., R.P.Bio., P.Biol., Eco-Web Ecological Consulting Ltd.	Portage Mountain bat monitoring, bald eagle monitoring, beaver monitoring
Chris Coxson, B.Sc., A.Ag Eco-Web Ecological Consulting Ltd.	Waterbird monitoring, Portage Mountain bat monitoring, cavity nesting bird monitoring, migratory bird nest monitoring
Burke Mckone, R.P.Bio, P.Biol.,	Portage Mountain bat monitoring

Qualified Professional	Area of Work
Eco-Web Ecological Consulting Ltd.	
Dan Daley, B.Sc., BIT Eco-Web Ecological Consulting Ltd.	Portage Mountain bat monitoring, cavity nesting bird monitoring
Iain Jones, M.Sc., Dipl. Tech., RPBio Golder Associates Ltd. Golder Senior Wildlife Biologist,	Wildlife mitigation structure monitoring program director, technical review and environmental compliance QEP
Tanya Seebacher, MSc, R.P.Bio Golder Associates Ltd.	Snake artificial den monitoring
Mike Sarell, R.P.Bio. Golder Associates Ltd.	Snake artificial den monitoring
Ilya Povalyaev, BSc, R.P.Bio. Golder Associates Ltd.	Bald eagle artificial nesting structure monitoring
Mitch Firman, B.Sc. Golder Associates Ltd.	Bat box and fisher den monitoring
Larry Davis, MSc, R.P.Bio. Davis Environmental Ltd.	Fisher den monitoring

## 5.0 Structure and Content

The mitigation and monitoring measures discussed in this report are organized into two parts: Section 6.0 describes those mitigation and monitoring measures that were implemented to meet the requirements of the FDS conditions; Section 7.0 describes those measures that were implemented to meet the requirements of the EAC conditions. Cross-references are provided in Section 7.0 where information provided to meet the EAC conditions is the same as that provided for the FDS conditions.

Several of the programs outlined in the Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation Plan were not implemented in 2021. Table 5 below outlines which programs were not implemented, and when they will be implemented and reported on in annual reports.

**Table 5.** Summary of programs not implemented in 2021

Condition Number	Program to be Implemented	Planned Implementation Year	Planned Inclusion in Annual Report
FDS 10.3.3	Littoral zone enhancements	2022	2022
	Riparian plantings	TBD, after reservoir filling	TBD, after reservoir filling

## 6.0 Implementation of Mitigation and Monitoring Measures – Federal Decision Statement Conditions

Conditions 9, 10, 11, and 16 of the FDS, respectively, set out the mitigation and monitoring requirements for the disturbance and destruction of migratory birds, non-wetland migratory bird habitat, wetlands used by migratory birds and for current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes, and species at risk, at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants (Table 1).

## 6.1 Federal Decision Statement Condition 9: Migratory Bird Mitigation and Monitoring

This section of the annual report summarizes the programs conducted in 2021 in accordance with the requirements of FDS condition 9, shown below.

### 9. Disturbance and destruction of migratory birds

- 9.1. The Proponent shall ensure that the Designated Project is carried out in a manner that avoids mortality and disturbance of migratory birds and their nests.
- 9.2. The Proponent shall prepare and submit to the Agency an annual schedule, describing the location and timing for construction and reservoir filling activities, 90 days prior to initiating any of these activities.
- 9.3. The Proponent shall develop, in consultation with Environment Canada, a plan to monitor and mitigate potential disturbance of breeding migratory birds in and adjacent to the Project Activity Zone, including the area immediately downstream of the dam where risks to migratory bird nests could occur, during construction, reservoir filling and operation.
- 9.4. The plan shall include measures to undertake construction, reservoir filling and operation in a manner that avoids or minimizes the risk of disturbance and mortality to migratory birds and their nests.
- 9.5. The Proponent shall, in preparing the plan, consult:
  - 9.5.1. Environment Canada's policy on Incidental Take of Migratory Birds in Canada; and
  - 9.5.2. Environment Canada's avoidance guidelines on General Nesting Periods of Migratory Birds in Canada.
- 9.6. The Proponent shall submit to the Agency and Environment Canada a draft copy of the plan for review 90 days prior to initiating construction.
- 9.7. The Proponent shall submit to the Agency the final plan a minimum of 30 days prior to initiating construction. When submitting the final plan, the Proponent shall provide to the Agency an analysis that demonstrates how it has appropriately considered the input, views or information received from Environment Canada.
- 9.8. The Proponent shall implement the plan and provide to the Agency an analysis and summary of the implementation of the plan, as well as any amendments made to the plan in response to the results, on an annual basis during construction and for the first five years of operation.
- 9.9. The Proponent shall address potential risks of bird collisions with the transmission line, in consultation with Environment Canada, by:
  - 9.9.1. conducting a risk assessment for bird collisions under the current transmission line design;
  - 9.9.2. determining if additional mitigation measures could be implemented to reduce the risk of bird collisions; and
  - 9.9.3. implementing any additional mitigation measures (e.g. line marking and diversions), to minimize impacts.

### 6.1.1 Condition 9.1

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 9.1: *The Proponent shall ensure that the Designated Project is carried out in a manner that avoids mortality and disturbance of migratory birds and their nests.*

In accordance with Condition 9.1, BC Hydro has, where feasible, given Project requirements and constraints, scheduled vegetation clearing outside of the migratory bird nesting period. The Project occurs within Zone B5, for which Environment and Climate Change Canada describes a

general nesting period for migratory birds of 19 April to 29 August<sup>1</sup>. BC Hydro developed Section 4.17 of the CEMP to address the requirements of Condition 9.1 and EAC Condition 17, and provided an outline of the nest survey protocol in Section 3.5.1 of the Vegetation Clearing and Debris Management Plan.

BC Hydro developed a pre-clearing nesting activity survey methodology, which outlines specific field procedures to be followed to determine the likelihood that migratory bird nests are present in areas scheduled to be disturbed. The protocol also describes the approach for determining appropriate situation- and species-specific disturbance setback buffers to be applied around locations where nests are likely to be present. That document was broadly distributed to contractors starting when it was first developed in 2015 and 2016. The document was revised in 2018 and 2019 and inserted as Appendix N of the CEMP, starting with rev.6.1 in 2019.

In 2021, pre-clearing nesting activity surveys were completed between April and August wherever small-scale clearing within the migratory bird nesting period could not be avoided. If active or suspected nest areas were identified, protective buffers were established around active nests, as determined by a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP). Contractor compliance with appropriate steps for mitigating the risk of incidental take of migratory birds, nests and eggs was monitored by BC Hydro environmental monitors and the Independent Environmental Monitor (IEM).

### **6.1.2 Condition 9.2**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 9.2: *The Proponent shall prepare and submit to the Agency an annual schedule, describing the location and timing for construction and reservoir filling activities, 90 days prior to initiating any of these activities.*

An initial construction schedule was submitted to CEAA on 17 October 2014. The most recently revised construction schedule, last updated in February 2020, can be found in Appendix 1.

### **6.1.3 Condition 9.3**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 9.3: *The Proponent shall develop, in consultation with Environment Canada, a plan to monitor and mitigate potential disturbance of breeding migratory birds in and adjacent to the Project Activity Zone, including the area immediately downstream of the dam where risks to migratory bird nests could occur, during construction, reservoir filling and operation.*

#### **6.1.3.1 Songbird surveys**

The songbird monitoring program is focussed on passerines (songbird perching birds), hummingbirds, swifts, doves, kingfisher, and pigeons (all members of the orders Passeriformes, Apodiformes, Columbiformes, and Coraciiformes), which are collectively referred to as songbirds. Songbird baseline surveys were conducted in 2006, 2008, 2011 and 2012 in support of the EIS. Surveys were again conducted annually 2016 through 2021 as part of the monitoring program. The Breeding Bird Follow-up Monitoring – Songbirds 2021 Annual Report can be found in Appendix 2.

---

<sup>1</sup> [https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/avoiding-harm-migratory-birds/general-nesting-periods/nesting-periods.htm#\\_zoneB\\_calendar](https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/avoiding-harm-migratory-birds/general-nesting-periods/nesting-periods.htm#_zoneB_calendar)

### **6.1.3.2 Common nighthawk surveys**

Common Nighthawk is designated as Special Concern by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC), Threatened under Schedule 1 of the Species at Risk Act (SARA), and listed as Yellow (secure) in British Columbia. Common nighthawk surveys were conducted in 2010 and 2012 in support of the EIS. Surveys again occurred over two years, with approximately half occurring in 2018 and half in 2019 as part of the monitoring program. The Common Nighthawk Follow-up Monitoring 2018 and 2019 annual reports were provided in the 2018 and 2019 VWMMP annual reports.

### **6.1.3.3 Woodpecker surveys**

Woodpecker surveys were conducted in 2010 in support of the EIS. Woodpecker surveys are being completed in the project footprint within the Peace River Valley and in the BC Hydro proposed mitigation properties over a two-year period (2018 and 2019) as part of the monitoring program. The Breeding Bird Follow-up Monitoring – Woodpeckers 2019 Annual Report was provided in the 2019 VWMMP annual report.

### **6.1.3.4 Waterbird surveys**

The waterbirds survey program is focussed on shorebirds, marsh birds, waterfowl, and other birds associated with aquatic and wetland habitats (collectively known as ‘waterbirds’). Waterbird surveys were conducted in the Peace River and adjacent wetlands in 2006 and 2008 and 2012 through 2014. Those waterbird surveys were conducted using fixed-wing aircraft and twin-engine helicopter surveys and, to a lesser extent, ground and boat surveys. No shorebirds were documented during helicopter and fixed-wing aircraft surveys between 2012 and 2014 because of the difficulty detecting small birds using aerial surveys. As a result, methods were adapted in 2017 to continue the use of fixed-wing aircraft for aerial surveys, and to add ground, river boat, unmanned aerial vehicle and autonomous recording unit survey methods. However, aerial surveys make identifying most waterbirds to the species level difficult, and therefore the aerial component of waterbird surveys was discontinued and not applied after 2017. In discussion with CWS, unmanned aerial vehicles were discontinued in 2020 because they were not shown to be efficient for waterbird data collection. The Waterbirds Follow-up Monitoring 2021 Annual Report can be found in Appendix 3.

### **6.1.3.4 Migratory bird nest monitoring**

A migratory bird nest monitoring program was developed and implemented in 2021 to monitor the potential disturbance to breeding migratory birds from fluctuating water levels caused by construction and operations activities. Eight weeks of nest searching and monitoring surveys were conducted from May 30 to July 21, 2021 and point count surveys were completed for nest monitoring plots between June 22 and June 26, 2021. The Migratory Bird Nest Monitoring Program 2021 Annual Report can be found in Appendix 4.

## **6.1.4 Condition 9.9.2**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 9.9.2: *The Proponent shall address potential risks of bird collisions with the*

*transmission line, in consultation with Environment Canada, by determining if additional mitigation measures could be implemented to reduce the risk of bird collisions.*

A risk assessment for bird collisions with the transmission line was included in Section 6.1.3 of the 2016 VWMMP Annual Report. Since that time, changes have been incorporated in the transmission line design that further reduce the risk of bird collisions:

- Phase to phase spacing is more than 12 meters, preventing any electrocution hazard that exists on distribution lines;
- Conductor size is approximately 1.25" diameter, therefore easier for birds to see. Each phase of the conductor will be configured in a square-shaped bundle of four, with spacing of 0.5 meters between each conductor, thus further increasing visibility for birds.
- There are no shield wires on most of the line. Shield wires are smaller in diameter and harder for birds to see and will only be installed in the last kilometer of each end of the line.
- Water crossings of the Peace and Moberly rivers will have marker spheres on them, which will increase visibility for birds.
- Guy wires on the structures are relatively low to the ground, as they connect to the tower at 2/3 the height of the tower. The lower height of the guy wires will reduce risk to birds. The bottoms of the guy wires are marked with bright yellow plastic guards, which will increase their visibility, and further reduce risk to birds.

Construction of the transmission line is nearing completion. Once constructed the mitigations implemented will be documented in the appropriate VWMMP Annual Report.

## **6.2 Federal Decision Statement Condition 10: Non-Wetland Migratory Bird Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring**

This section of the annual report summarizes the applicable components of the VWMMP implemented to fulfill FDS condition 10 in 2021 in accordance with the requirements of FDS condition 10.8. For context, the complete requirements of FDS condition 10 are shown below.

### **10. Non-wetland migratory bird habitat**

- 10.1. The Proponent shall mitigate the potential effects of the Designated Project on non-wetland migratory bird habitat.
- 10.2. The Proponent shall develop, in consultation with Environment Canada, a plan that addresses potential effects of the Designated Project on non-wetland migratory bird habitat.
- 10.3. The plan shall include:
  - 10.3.1. non-wetland migratory bird habitat baseline conditions for habitat that would be permanently lost, habitat that would be fragmented and habitat that would remain intact;
  - 10.3.2. migratory bird abundance, distribution and use of non-wetland habitat;
  - 10.3.3. measures to mitigate the changes in aquatic and riparian-related food resources and other habitat features associated with a change from a fluvial to a reservoir system;
  - 10.3.4. compensation measures to address the unavoidable loss of non-wetland migratory bird habitat, including habitat associated with the Canada Warbler, the Cape May Warbler and the Bay-Breasted Warbler;
  - 10.3.5. an analysis of the effects of any compensation measures identified in condition 10.3.4 on the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes by Aboriginal peoples; and
  - 10.3.6. an approach to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of the mitigation or compensation

measures to be implemented and to verify the accuracy of the predictions made during the environmental assessment on non-wetland migratory bird habitat, including migratory bird use of that habitat.

- 10.4. The Proponent shall submit to the Agency and Environment Canada a draft copy of the plan for review:
  - 10.4.1. for conditions 10.3.1, 10.3.2, 10.3.3 and 10.3.6, 90 days prior to initiating construction; and
  - 10.4.2. for conditions 10.3.4 and 10.3.5, 90 days prior to implementing any component of the compensation plan.
- 10.5. The Proponent shall submit to the Agency the final plan:
  - 10.5.1. for conditions 10.3.1, 10.3.2, 10.3.3 and 10.3.6, a minimum of 30 days prior to initiating construction; and
  - 10.5.2. for conditions 10.3.4 and 10.3.5, a minimum of 30 days prior to implementing any component of the compensation plan.
- 10.6. When submitting each component of the final plan, the Proponent shall provide to the Agency an analysis that demonstrates how it has appropriately considered the input, views or information received from Environment Canada.
- 10.7. The Proponent shall commence the implementation of the compensation measures specified in condition 10.3.4 no later than five years from the initiation of construction.
- 10.8. The Proponent shall implement each component of the plan and provide to the Agency an analysis and summary of the implementation of the applicable component of the plan, as well as any amendments made to the plan in response to the results, on an annual basis during construction and at the end of year 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 30 of operation.

### **6.2.1 Condition 10.3.1**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 10.3.1: *The plan shall include non-wetland migratory bird habitat baseline conditions for habitat that would be permanently lost, habitat that would be fragmented and habitat that would remain intact.*

The collection of data on non-wetland migratory bird habitat baseline conditions is done through implementation of the migratory bird monitoring plans, of which the 2021 surveys are discussed in Section 6.1.3 in relation to FDS Condition 9.3 (monitor and mitigate potential disturbance of breeding migratory birds).

### **6.2.2 Condition 10.3.2**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 10.3.2: *The plan shall include migratory bird abundance, distribution and use of non-wetland habitat.*

The collection of data on non-wetland migratory bird abundance, distribution and use of non-wetland habitat is done through implementation of the migratory bird monitoring plans, of which the 2021 surveys are discussed in Section 6.1.3 in relation to FDS Condition 9.3 (monitor and mitigate potential disturbance of breeding migratory birds).

### **6.2.3 Condition 10.3.3**

This section summarizes actions that are being taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 10.3.3: *The plan shall include measures to mitigate the changes in*

*aquatic and riparian-related food resources and other habitat features associated with a change from a fluvial to a reservoir system.*

Mitigation measures have been developed to reduce potential adverse impacts associated with a change from a fluvial to a reservoir system by increasing the area of shallow water habitat at along the reservoir shoreline. These measures are expected to enhance fish habitat and also benefit migratory birds by increasing the abundance and availability of aquatic plants, aquatic invertebrates, and fish.

Downstream of the dam, fish habitat offset works will be undertaken to:

- Increase the amount of available, permanently wetted habitat to:
  - Support primary and secondary production as food production for fish;
  - Provide rearing, feeding, overwintering, and potential spawning habitats for fish;
- Reduce fish stranding risk in the area; and
- Increase the complexity and variability of fish habitat to support a variety of life stage uses for local fish populations.

There are seven fish habitat offset projects:

- Reservoir Area Fish Habitat Offsets:
  - Site C Reservoir Shoreline Enhancement – Creation of littoral habitat at three locations in the reservoir.
  - Dam Site Material Relocation Site Enhancement - Spawning gravel and cobbles will be incorporated into the final capping of material relocation sites upstream of the dam that will be inundated by the reservoir to provide productive reservoir littoral fish habitat.
  - Peaceview Pit Fish Habitat Compensation – A borrow pit along Highway 29 that will be flooded when the reservoir is filled, creating littoral fish habitat.
  - Reservoir Shoreline Riparian Planting – A 15 m-wide riparian area will be planted along the reservoir shoreline adjacent to BC Hydro-owned farmland to provide riparian habitat and bank stabilization.
  - Hudson’s Hope Shoreline Protection Enhancement - A 2.6 km shoreline protection berm that will incorporate fish habitat features.
- Downstream Fish Habitat Offsets
  - River Road Rock Spurs - The rock spurs will convert areas of deep, fast flowing water to calm water habitats.
  - Peace River Channel Contouring and Side Channel Enhancement – Involves excavation and contouring of instream gravel bars and the conversion of very shallow water to deeper water and deposition of excavated material at two sites between the dam and the confluence with the Pine River. The works will create shallow water that is consistently wetted throughout the year.

The fish habitat offset projects are described in the Fisheries and Aquatic Habitat Management Plan<sup>2</sup> (FAHMP). Annual reports describing the status of implementation of these projects are available on the Site C Project website<sup>3</sup>.

---

<sup>2</sup> BC Hydro. 2015. Fisheries and Aquatic Habitat Management Plan. Site C Clean Energy Project. Revision 1: June 1, 2015. Available at: [https://www.sitecproject.com/sites/default/files/Fisheries\\_and\\_Aquatic\\_Habitat\\_Management\\_Plan.pdf](https://www.sitecproject.com/sites/default/files/Fisheries_and_Aquatic_Habitat_Management_Plan.pdf).

<sup>3</sup> Available at: <https://www.sitecproject.com/document-library/environmental-management>



#### **6.2.4 Condition 10.3.4**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 10.3.4: *The plan shall include compensation measures to address the unavoidable loss of non-wetland migratory bird habitat, including habitat associated with the Canada Warbler, the Cape May Warbler and the Bay-Breasted Warbler.*

BC Hydro continues to manage three properties (i.e., Marl Fen, Rutledge and Wilder Creek) that were retained partly to provide habitat for non-wetland migratory birds. Management plans for those properties were included in the 2015 annual report. In 2019, Ducks Unlimited Canada conducted the physical works necessary at Golata Canyon Ranch to create approximately 50 ha of sedge wetland (see Section 6.3.2). Vegetation developing on the periphery of this wetland is expected to also help support non-wetland migratory birds. No new properties were added to the program in 2021.

#### **6.2.5 Condition 10.3.5**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 10.3.4: *The plan shall include an analysis of the effects of any compensation measures identified in condition 10.3.4 on the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes by Aboriginal peoples.*

To date, compensation measures to address the unavoidable loss of non-wetland migratory bird habitat have been restricted to fee simple lands. Compensation actions enacted on fee simple lands are not expected to affect current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes by Indigenous peoples. Access to fee simple lands is controlled by the owner, or, in the case of BC Hydro, the leaseholder of lands leased by BC Hydro.

#### **6.2.6 Condition 10.3.6**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 10.3.6: *The plan shall include an approach to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of the mitigation or compensation measures to be implemented and to verify the accuracy of the predictions made during the environmental assessment on non-wetland migratory bird habitat, including migratory bird use.*

An approach to monitor the effectiveness of mitigation and compensation measures and to verify the accuracy of the predictions made during the environmental assessment on non-wetland migratory birds is done within the migratory bird monitoring plans. The migratory bird monitoring surveys conducted in 2021 are discussed in Section 6.1.3 in relation to FDS Condition 9.3 (monitor and mitigate potential disturbance of breeding migratory birds).

### **6.3 Federal Decision Statement Condition 11: Wetland Mitigation and Monitoring**

This section of the annual report summarizes the components of the VWMMP implemented to fulfill FDS condition 11 in 2021 in accordance with the requirements of FDS condition 11.9. For context, the complete requirements of FDS condition 11 are listed below.

<b>11. Wetlands used by migratory birds and for current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes</b>
---

11.1 The Proponent shall mitigate the potential effects of the Designated Project on wetland habitat
--

- used by migratory birds, species at risk and for current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes by Aboriginal people.
- 11.2. The Proponent shall develop, in consultation with Environment Canada, Reservoir Area Aboriginal groups and Immediate Downstream Aboriginal groups, a plan that addresses potential effects of the Designated Project on wetland habitat used by migratory birds, species at risk and for current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes.
  - 11.3. The Proponent shall, in developing the plan, describe how the mitigation hierarchy and the objective of no net loss of wetland functions were considered.
  - 11.4. The plan shall include:
    - 11.4.1. baseline data on the biogeochemical, hydrological and ecological functioning of the wetlands and associated riparian habitat in the area affected by the Designated Project, including: ground and surface water quality and quantity; vegetation cover; biotic structure and diversity; migratory bird abundance, density, diversity and use; species at risk abundance, density, diversity and use; and current use of the wetlands for traditional purposes by Aboriginal people, including the plant and wildlife species that support that use;
    - 11.4.2. mitigation measures to maintain baseline wetland functions for those wetlands that will not be permanently lost;
    - 11.4.3. an approach to monitor and evaluate any changes to baseline conditions, as defined in condition 11.4.1 and identify improvements based on monitoring data;
    - 11.4.4. compensation measures to address the unavoidable loss of wetland areas and functions supporting migratory birds, species at risk, and the current use of lands and resources by Aboriginal people in support of the objective of full replacement of wetlands in terms of area and function; and
    - 11.4.5. an analysis of the effects of any compensation measures identified in condition 11.4.4 on the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes by Aboriginal peoples.
  - 11.5. The Proponent shall submit to the Agency, Environment Canada, Reservoir Area Aboriginal groups and Immediate Downstream Aboriginal groups a draft copy of the plan for review:
    - 11.5.1. for conditions 11.4.1, 11.4.2 and 11.4.3, 90 days prior to initiating construction; and
    - 11.5.2. for conditions 11.4.4 and 11.4.5, 90 days prior to implementing any component of the compensation plan.
  - 11.6. The Proponent shall submit to the Agency the final plan:
    - 11.6.1. for conditions 11.4.1, 11.4.2 and 11.4.3, a minimum of 30 days prior to initiating construction; and
    - 11.6.2. for conditions 11.4.4 and 11.4.5, a minimum of 30 days prior to implementing any component of the compensation plan.
  - 11.7. When submitting each component of the final plan, the Proponent shall provide to the Agency an analysis that demonstrates how it has appropriately considered the input, views or information received from Environment Canada, Reservoir Area Aboriginal groups and Immediate Downstream Aboriginal groups.
  - 11.8. The Proponent shall commence the implementation of the compensation measures specified in condition 11.4.4 no later than five years from the initiation of construction.
  - 11.9. The Proponent shall implement each component of the plan and provide to the Agency an analysis and summary of the implementation of the plan, as well as any amendments made to the plan in response to the results, on an annual basis during construction and at the end of year 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 30 of operation.

### 6.3.1 Condition 11.1

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 11.1: *The Proponent shall mitigate the potential effects of the Designated Project on wetland habitat used by migratory birds, species at risk and for current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes by Aboriginal people.*

The CEMP (Section 4.5) states that riparian habitat is to be protected by retaining “a 15 m machine-free riparian buffer from the Ordinary High Water Mark of watercourses and waterbodies during clearing, except where worker safety prohibits manual tree falling and vegetation removal methods, and as addressed in a site specific prescription prepared and endorsed by a QEP”. The CEMP (Section 4.5) also requires that lay-down and material storage areas be located “at least 15 m from the Ordinary High Water Mark”.

The location and boundaries of wetland habitats near construction areas are field-truthed, their boundaries flagged and coordinates recorded using GPS. This information was also used when determining the location of access roads that are being used to construct the transmission line. Mitigation for loss of wetland habitat is discussed in Section 6.3.2.

### 6.3.2 Condition 11.2

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 11.2: *The Proponent shall develop, in consultation with Environment Canada, Reservoir Area Aboriginal groups and Immediate Downstream Aboriginal groups, a plan that addresses potential effects of the Designated Project on wetland habitat used by migratory birds, species at risk and for current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes.*

Potential effects of Site C on wetland habitat are being addressed within a wetland compensation plan, which has the objective of no net loss of wetland functions, as per FDS condition 11.3.

BC Hydro continues to manage the Marl Fen property, which was retained (in part) to protect the marl fen that makes up part of the property. The management plan for that property was included in the 2015 annual report. In 2019, Ducks Unlimited Canada conducted the physical works necessary at Golata Canyon Ranch to create approximately 50 ha of sedge wetland. The development of this wetland area, as vegetation establishes and wetland functions increase, will be monitored over time.

BC Hydro is focussed on finding additional opportunities for wetland protection and enhancement, including on lands that allow use of resources for traditional purposes. Numerous potential candidate sites have been identified and evaluated with Ducks Unlimited Canada, although no new sites appropriate for wetland creation or enhancement have yet been confirmed.

A wetland monitoring program has been developed through consultation with and review by MOECCS, FLNRORD, and CWS through the VWTC. Based on the requirements for wetland monitoring described in FDS Condition 11, the monitoring program was developed to comprise the following:

- collection of baseline data on the biogeochemical, hydrological and ecological functioning of the wetlands and associated riparian habitat in the area affected by the Designated Project;
- an evaluation of change to baseline wetland conditions due to the Project;

- selection of compensation measures for loss of wetland areas and functions, including reclamation, improvement, creation and protection; and
- flexibility in the monitoring program to allow for further refinement in the characterization of baseline and affected wetlands, as data become available.

The monitoring program includes direct measures of groundwater quality and quantity, surface water quality and quantity, vegetation cover, structure and diversity, and rare plant occurrence. Wetland monitoring also includes wetland delineation to help evaluate and improve wetland mapping. Further data on biotic structure and diversity, and migratory bird and species at risk abundance, density, diversity and use will be gathered through focussed monitoring plans (e.g., see Section 6.1.3 for details on waterbird surveys). Baseline data regarding current use of wetlands for traditional purposes by Aboriginal people have been gathered by the BC Hydro Indigenous Relations team through groundtruthing with FN groups, who will also gather and compile data regarding changes to use of wetlands for traditional purposes.

The 2021 field program mostly focussed on wetlands last surveyed in 2019, while also including some wetlands last surveyed in 2016 and 2020. Wetland mapping was also refined for all wetlands surveyed. The wetland monitoring program annual report for 2021 is Appendix 5.

Through consultation with and review by MOECCS, FLNRORD and CWS through the VWTC, BC Hydro developed the Wetland Function Assessment (WFA) tool to measure progress towards the objective of no net loss of wetland functions. The WFA assesses the unavoidable loss of wetland area and function that supports migratory birds, amphibians, bats, species at risk, and species important to Indigenous land use due to Project activities. In assessing the loss of wetland area and function, the WFA process informs compensation measures for full replacement of wetland area and function. Wetland function is defined as the natural processes that are associated with wetlands but does not refer to the benefits of those processes to humans.

### **6.3.3 Condition 11.3**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 11.3: *The Proponent shall, in developing the plan, describe how the mitigation hierarchy and the objective of no net loss of wetland functions were considered.*

The mitigation framework has three main steps, as outlined in the Environment Canada's Operational Framework for Use of Conservation Allowances (2012):

- Avoid proposed impacts;
- Minimize proposed impacts; and
- Address any residual environmental effects that cannot be avoided or sufficiently minimized with the use of conservation allowances.

Measures to avoid where feasible, and to minimize impacts to wetlands where avoidance is not feasible, are described in the CEMP and the Site C Vegetation Clearing and Debris Management Plan. For residual impacts to wetlands, BC Hydro is working to create, restore and enhance wetlands with the objective of no net loss of wetland functions. Determining the residual impacts to wetland functions, and the appropriate amount and type of wetlands to develop as conservation allowances, will be done through application of the Wetland Function Assessment, combined with application of the associated wetland monitoring program (see Section 6.3.2 above). The wetland monitoring program is designed to measure residual impacts to wetlands due to Site C, as well as to measure positive changes to wetland functions because of BC Hydro's efforts to create, restore and enhance wetlands.

### **6.3.4 Condition 11.4.1**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 11.4.1: *The plan shall include baseline data on the biogeochemical, hydrological and ecological functioning of the wetlands and associated riparian habitat in the area affected by the Designated Project, including: ground and surface water quality and quantity; vegetation cover; biotic structure and diversity; migratory bird abundance, density, diversity and use; species at risk abundance, density, diversity and use; and current use of the wetlands for traditional purposes by Aboriginal people, including the plant and wildlife species that support that use.*

Baseline data on the biogeochemical, hydrological and ecological functioning of wetlands and associated riparian habitat were collected during baseline surveys in support of the EIS, and subsequent surveys of wetlands, including those likely to be impacted by the transmission line RoW. See Section 6.3.2 for a description of the wetland monitoring program. The wetland monitoring program annual report for 2021 is Appendix 5.

### **6.3.5 Condition 11.4.2**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 11.4.2: *The plan shall include mitigation measures to maintain baseline wetland functions for those wetlands that will not be permanently lost.*

For wetlands that will not be permanently lost, wetland function will be maintained through the timing of works (e.g. winter to minimize ground disturbance), maintenance of hydrology through the installation of culverts during road construction as a matter of practice, and approaches to minimize impacts to wetlands through careful construction practices (see Section 6.3.1). The Wetland Function Assessment tool and the associated wetland monitoring program were designed together to identify impacts to wetlands and wetland functions, which will then inform quantitative wetland compensation objectives (see Section 6.3.2).

### **6.3.6 Condition 11.4.3**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 11.4.3: *The plan shall include an approach to monitor and evaluate any changes to baseline conditions, as defined in condition 11.4.1 and identify improvements based on monitoring data.*

See section 6.3.2 for discussion of the plan for monitoring and evaluating changes to baseline wetland conditions, as defined in condition 11.4.1, and for identifying improvements based on monitoring data.

### **6.3.7 Condition 11.4.4**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 11.4.4: *The plan shall include compensation measures to address the unavoidable loss of wetland areas and functions supporting migratory birds, species at risk, and the current use of lands and resources by Aboriginal people in support of the objective of full replacement of wetlands in terms of area and function.*

Please see Section 6.3.2 for details on the wetland mitigation program and the Wetland Function Assessment tool.

### **6.3.8 Condition 11.8**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 11.8: *The Proponent shall commence the implementation of the compensation measures specified in condition 11.4.4 no later than five years from the initiation of construction.*

Please refer to Section 6.3.2 for details on implementation of wetland compensation measures in 2015, the first year of construction, and ongoing implementation.

### **6.3.9 Condition 11.9**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 11.9: *The Proponent shall implement each component of the plan and provide to the Agency an analysis and summary of the implementation of the plan, as well as any amendments made to the plan in response to the results, on an annual basis during construction and at the end of year 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 30 of operation.*

This annual report represents an analysis and summary of the implementation of the plan, as well as amendments made to the plan through the ongoing development of component mitigation and monitoring plans based on survey results and consultation with CWS, FLNRORD and MOECCS.

## **6.4 Federal Decision Statement Condition 16: Species at Risk Mitigation and Monitoring**

This section of the annual report summarizes the programs as implemented in 2021 in accordance with the requirements of FDS condition 16.6.

For context, the complete requirements of FDS condition 16 are listed below.

### **16. Species at risk, at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants**

- 16.1. The Proponent shall ensure that potential effects of the Designated Project on species at risk, at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants are addressed and monitored.
- 16.2. The Proponent shall develop, in consultation with Environment Canada, a plan setting out measures to address potential effects of the Designated Project on species at risk, at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants.
- 16.3. The plan shall include:
  - 16.3.1. field work to verify the modeled results for surveyed species at risk and determine the habitat that would be permanently lost, habitat that would be fragmented and habitat that would remain intact for those species, including the Short-eared Owl, the Western Toad and the Myotis Bat species;
  - 16.3.2. surveys to determine whether the rare plant species potentially facing extirpation in the Project Activity Zone are found elsewhere in the region;
  - 16.3.3. measures to mitigate environmental effects on species at risk and at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants;
  - 16.3.4. conservation measures to ensure the viability of rare plants, such as seed recovery and plant relocation;
  - 16.3.5. an approach to avoiding or minimizing the use of herbicides and pesticides in areas that could impact species at risk, at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants;

- 16.3.6. an approach to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of mitigation measures and to verify the accuracy of the predictions made during the environmental assessment on species at risk, at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants; and
- 16.3.7. an approach for tracking updates to the status of listed species identified by the Government of British Columbia, Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, and the Species at Risk Act, and implementation of additional measures, in accordance with species recovery plans, to mitigate effects of the Designated Project on the affected species should the status of a listed species change during the life of the Designated Project.
- 16.4. The Proponent shall submit to the Agency and Environment Canada a draft copy of the plan for review 90 days prior to initiating construction.
- 16.5. The Proponent shall submit to the Agency the final plan a minimum of 30 days prior to initiating construction. When submitting the final plan, the Proponent shall provide to the Agency, an analysis that demonstrates how it has appropriately considered the input, views or information received from Environment Canada.

The requirements of Condition 16.1 and Condition 16.2 are addressed through Condition 16.3. Mitigation and monitoring plans are developed in consultation with the Canadian Wildlife Service of ECCC through the VWTC (Section 3.1).

#### **6.4.1 Condition 16.3.3**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 16.3.3: *The plan shall include measures to mitigate environmental effects on species at risk and at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants.*

In 2021 the following measures were implemented to mitigate effects on species at risk and at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants:

- Pre-construction rare plant surveys focussed on the remaining segments of Highway 29 realignment corridors on the north side of the Peace River, access roads on the south side of the Peace River, and on the Del Rio and Area E proposed aggregate extraction sites as well as the Area E proposed haul road (Section 6.4.1.1);
- Amphibian mitigation through salvages and dispersal translocation (Section 6.4.1.2);
- Implementation of protection measures for wetland and riparian areas, in which rare plant occurrences are generally concentrated, as required by the CEMP (See Section 6.3.1);
- The Environmental Features Map was updated with 2021 rare plant data on 14 September, when it was available to contractors for use in planning;
- Further development and implementation of the Experimental Rare Plant Translocation program in consultation with MOECCS, FLNRORD and CWS (Sections 7.1.6, 7.5.1 and 7.5.2); and
- Avoidance of bat hibernacula and maternity roosts at Portage Mountain. The 2017 VWMMP Annual Report described how impacts to hibernacula at Portage Mountain are being avoided. Monitoring of bat activity at Portage Mountain began in 2017 for evaluating the effectiveness of mitigation, and that ongoing monitoring is described in Section 6.4.3.3.

#### **6.4.1.1 Pre-construction rare plant surveys**

Pre-construction rare plant surveys were conducted in 2021 in areas of the planned Project footprint not previously surveyed. The resultant data serve as inputs to the final design of access roads, help inform mitigation to avoid or minimize impacts to rare plant occurrences near construction sites, and identify potential propagule sources for the Experimental Rare Plant Translocation Program (see Sections 7.1.6, 7.5.1 and 7.5.2). The first season of pre-construction surveys was completed in the summer and fall of 2015, and those surveys have been ongoing in each year since. The 2021 pre-construction rare plant survey report, which includes methods and results from surveys conducted in 2015 through 2021, is Appendix 6.

#### **6.4.1.2 Amphibian dispersal mitigation and salvage**

Mitigation for minimizing the impacts of the Project on amphibians and amphibian habitat is required of contractors and specified in part in Section 4.17 and Appendix L of the CEMP. Those mitigations include the following:

- Limit vegetation clearing and avoid road construction in identified amphibian breeding and migration areas, where feasible;
- If construction is required adjacent to any identified amphibian breeding and migration areas, implement appropriate barriers and set-back buffers around the sites in accordance with aquatic and riparian protection measures (i.e., retain a 15 m machine-free riparian buffer from the Ordinary High Water Mark of watercourses and waterbodies during clearing, except where worker safety prohibits manual tree falling and vegetation removal methods, and as addressed in a site specific prescription prepared and endorsed by a QEP [see Section 4.5 of the CEMP]; and avoid where feasible, including through the use of disturbance setback buffers);
- Install crossing structures for amphibians and snakes to avoid and reduce injury and mortality to amphibians on roads that cross or are immediately beside wetland or other areas where amphibians or snakes are known to migrate across roads in accordance with Section 8.8 of the VWMMP. Notify BC Hydro of such installations within 5 days of installation; and
- Implement amphibian salvage and translocation procedures as required. Amphibian salvages could be required when avoidance of areas containing metamorphosing tadpoles cannot be avoided, or prior to the destruction of wetlands supporting amphibians (Wildlife Act Permit FJ16-226024, expires December 31, 2023). Amphibian translocation may be required when mass migration events cross access roads and work sites.

It is necessary for each contractor's QEP to conduct amphibian breeding and migration area surveys in advance of ground disturbing activities and alongside active construction roads, where and when appropriate, to determine appropriate mitigation. Revision 5 of the CEMP includes an explicit requirement for each Contractor and its QEP to follow the Western Toad Management Procedure wherever western toads may exist. The Western Toad Management Procedure was developed through extensive consultation with FLNRORD, MOECCS and CWS through the VWTC, and can be found in Appendix 6 of the 2017 Annual Report and is Appendix L of the CEMP. This procedure was finalized June 26, 2017, and since that time has been required for inclusion in all contractors' Environmental Protection Plans (EPPs) for works that could impact amphibians. Appropriate amphibian mitigation is monitored by BC Hydro site Environmental Monitors and the Independent Environmental Monitor (IEM) against



commitments within EPPs and CEMP requirements to determine and enforce compliance.

The Western Toad Management Procedure is applicable during construction on access roads, the transmission line, and areas within 250 m of wetlands. It requires daily surveys of all access roads and work sites during the 'core dispersal period' of June 1 to August 15. During the 'caution dispersal periods' of April 1 to May 31 and August 16 to September 30, the protocol requires a minimum of weekly surveys, as well as surveys before travelling to site and before any work commences. The protocol includes a stop work procedure at access roads or construction sites if dispersing toads are confirmed within 20 m of those areas, as well as a requirement for installing temporary barrier fences to prevent toads from being exposed to an increased mortality risk. Trapped toads are then to be translocated safely across work areas in the direction of their dispersal.

#### **6.4.2 Condition 16.3.4**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 16.3.4: *The plan shall include conservation measures to ensure the viability of rare plants, such as seed recovery and plant relocation.*

The Experimental Rare Plant Translocation program was developed in consultation with MOECCS, FLNRORD and CWS through the VWTC (see Section 7.5.1 and 7.5.2). Collection of seeds began in 2017. In 2021, propagule collection continued, along with translocation implementation and monitoring (see Section 7.1.6).

#### **6.4.3 Condition 16.3.6**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 16.3.6: *The plan shall include an approach to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of mitigation measures and to verify the accuracy of the predictions made during the environmental assessment on species at risk, at-risk and sensitive ecological communities and rare plants.*

##### **6.4.3.1 Migratory Bird Monitoring**

Please see Section 6.1.3 for a summary of migratory bird surveys conducted in 2021. These monitoring programs are designed to meet a number of objectives, including to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of mitigation measures and to verify the accuracy of predictions made during the environmental assessment regarding migratory bird species at risk. Numerous migratory species that have been observed in those surveys are provincially and / or federally listed.

##### **6.4.3.2 Ground-nesting Raptor Surveys**

Ground-nesting raptor surveys were conducted in 2021 to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of mitigation measures and to verify the accuracy of predictions made during the environmental assessment on ground nesting raptors, such as short-eared owl (see Section 7.9.4.2). Short-eared owl is a ground-nesting raptor that is provincially Blue-listed and federally listed as Special Concern on Schedule 1 of SARA.

### **6.4.3.3 Bat Mitigation Monitoring at Portage Mountain**

To avoid destroying hibernacula at Portage Mountain that may be used by little brown myotis and northern myotis (both of which are federally listed as Endangered on Schedule 1 of SARA), BC Hydro redesigned the Portage Mountain Quarry to the eastern edge of the License of Occupation area. This relocation achieved a 300 m no activity/no access buffer around the 16 documented potential hibernacula. To avoid disturbance to hibernating bats, BC Hydro has also prohibited blasting at Portage Mountain between September 15 and May 15 (see Section 4.2 of the CEMP); this window was established based on data collected at the hibernacula in 2013 and in consultation with bat biologists. This mitigation is summarized in Section 7.7.3 of this annual report and is described in detail in Appendix 8 of the 2016 Annual Report.

To prevent damaging rock structures associated with the hibernacula, MOE<sup>4</sup> recommends noise levels during blasting be kept below certain thresholds at the hibernacula (see Section 7.7.3). BC Hydro conducted noise modelling for blasting at Portage Mountain, which predicted that noise levels at the hibernacula would be below those thresholds.

BC Hydro monitored the noise and vibration caused by activity at Portage Mountain Quarry in 2018 through 2021, which included blasting for haul road construction and aggregate production. Noise monitoring conducted at the site determined that in 2018, 2019 and 2021, noise and vibration caused by blasting did not exceed thresholds at hibernacula locations, as defined in BC MOE Best Management Practices (BMP) Guidelines for Bats in British Columbia (i.e., air overpressure of less than 150 decibels, shock wave less than 15 p.s.i., and peak particle velocity [PPV] less than 15 mm/second; BC MOE 2016). In 2020, noise monitoring was conducted monthly, and so modelling was used to supplement available data. That modelling of noise and vibration showed that also in 2020 blasting was unlikely to have exceeded the BC BMP thresholds for noise or vibration at important bat habitat.

BC Hydro is also conducting year-round monitoring of bat activity at Portage Mountain, with the following objectives:

- confirm that the bat species previously recorded at Portage Mountain remain present during quarry operations;
- evaluate any changes in the use of hibernacula at Portage Mountain through bat activity recorded during the winter and spring-emergence periods;
- evaluate and changes in the use of Portage Mountain by bats by comparing bat activity to previously recorded spring to fall bat activity; and
- emergence counts with bioacoustic surveys to help determine whether maternity roosts are present, and to evaluate the efficacy of spatial setback mitigation from suspected maternity roosts.

Analysis of bat activity data from acoustic detectors and bat emergence counts is challenging due to the high variability inherent in bat activity data, which can make it difficult to confidently identify trends or causal relationships. In addition, relatively few baseline bat activity data were collected before quarry development and operation began.

An analysis of the bat activity data collected at Portage Mountain suggests that quarry construction and operation may have had some limited impacts on bat activity. Bat species composition did not change between years. However, there appears to be a decrease in use of the monitored maternity roost, although data were insufficient for running a statistical test. Bat

---

<sup>4</sup> BC MoE. 2016. Best Management Practices Guidelines for Bats in British Columbia. Chapter 2: Mine Developments and Inactive Mine Habitats. 68pp.

activity showed a statistically significant negative relationship to blasting occurrence during the fall period. Bat activity during the hibernation period showed a statistically significant decline in 2018, 2019 and 2021 relative to 2017, despite no blasting occurring during the hibernation period, and limited quarry development occurring in 2018 other than late summer test blasts. Quarry activity may have affected swarming, which is the late summer / early fall activity peak associated with mating, because the behaviour could not be detected in 2019 or 2020, although swarming was detected in 2021. Overall, bat activity was variable among years and causal factors are difficult to identify. Further bat activity monitoring at Portage Mountain is planned to help determine whether any persistent changes in bat activity can be identified now that quarry construction and operation are concluded.

The report describing the results of bat activity monitoring at Portage Mountain with consideration of all data collected in 2017 through 2021 is Appendix 7.

#### **6.4.3.4. Western Toad and Gartersnake Monitoring**

The Western Toad and Gartersnake Monitoring Program was developed to identify and describe impacts to western toad and gartersnake in wetlands downstream of Site C, and implemented in 2018 through 2020. Western toad is federally listed as Special Concern under COSEWIC, SARA Schedule 1 – Special Concern, but is considered not at risk in BC. Pre-operations data collection was completed in 2020, and operations data collection is scheduled to begin in 2025.

#### **6.4.3.4. Wetland Function Assessment and Wetland Monitoring**

The Wetland Function Assessment has been developed to characterize the impacts of the Project on wetlands in general, and specifically the ecological functions that wetlands provide. A wetland monitoring program was implemented in 2018 and continued in 2021 to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of wetland mitigation measures and to verify the accuracy of the predictions made during the environmental assessment (see Section 6.3.2).

#### **6.4.3.5. Downstream Vegetation Monitoring**

The Downstream Vegetation Monitoring program was developed to document the response of downstream vegetation, at-risk and sensitive ecosystems, and rare plant occurrences between the dam and the Pine River to changes in the surface water regime during construction and operations. The program was implemented in 2019 and continued in 2020 to complete pre-river diversion (i.e., baseline) data collection. Data collection during the river diversion period is planned to occur in 2022.

#### **6.4.4 Condition 16.3.7**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 16.3.7: *The plan shall include an approach for tracking updates to the status of listed species identified by the Government of British Columbia, Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, and the Species at Risk Act, and implementation of additional measures, in accordance with species recovery plans, to mitigate effects of the Designated Project on the affected species should the status of a listed species change during the life of the Designated Project.*

The Conservation Data Center revised its ranking of species at risk in 2021. The following documents were reviewed to identify changes to rankings of species documented in the LAA during baseline surveys<sup>5</sup>:

- 2021 BC Conservation Status Rank Review and Changes, vascular and non-Vascular Plants; and
- 2021 BC Conservation Status Rank Review and Changes, animals summary.

Species listed on Schedules 1, 2 and 3 of the federal Species at Risk Act (SARA) were reviewed to determine if any species occurring in the Project area had been added or had their rankings changed.

Provincially species are assigned to lists based on their Provincial conservation status. Species on the red and blue-lists are considered species at risk. Species on the yellow and unknown lists are not considered species at risk. A summary of the lists is provided below and can be accessed at <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/atrisk/help/list.htm>:

- **Red-list:** Includes any indigenous species or subspecies that have, or are candidates for, Extirpated, Endangered, or Threatened status in British Columbia. Extirpated taxa no longer exist in the wild in British Columbia but do occur elsewhere. Endangered taxa are facing imminent extirpation or extinction. Threatened taxa are likely to become endangered if limiting factors are not reversed. Not all Red-listed taxa will necessarily become formally designated. Placing taxa on these lists flags them as being at risk and requiring investigation.
- **Blue-list:** Includes any indigenous species or subspecies considered to be of Special Concern (formerly Vulnerable) in British Columbia. Taxa of Special Concern have characteristics that make them particularly sensitive or vulnerable to human activities or natural events. Blue-listed taxa are at risk, but are not Extirpated, Endangered or Threatened.
- **Yellow-list:** Includes species that are apparently secure and not at risk of extinction. Yellow-listed species may have red- or blue-listed subspecies.
- **Unknown:** Includes species or subspecies for which the Provincial Conservation Status is unknown due to extreme uncertainty (e.g., S1S4). It will also be 'Unknown' if it is uncertain whether the entity is native (Red, Blue or Yellow), introduced (Exotic) or accidental in B.C. This designation highlights species where more inventory and/or data gathering is needed

#### **6.4.4.1 Rare Plants**

In 2021 there were no changes to the conservation status of plants with potential to occur in the Site C Project area.

#### **6.4.4.2 Wildlife**

The SARA status listings for wildlife species likely to occur within the Site C Project area did not change in 2021. No finalized recovery strategies for federally listed species likely to occur

---

<sup>5</sup> Government of British Columbia. 2021. Recent Data Changes. <https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/environment/plants-animals-ecosystems/conservation-data-centre/explore-cdc-data/conservation-data-centre-updates>. Accessed: 31 January 2021.

within the Site C Project Area were released in 2021, although a proposed recovery strategy for bank swallow (*Riparia riparia*) was released in 2021.

The Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) reclassified short-eared owl as Threatened in 2021, and downgraded barn swallow and Canada warbler (*Cardellina canadensis*) to Special Concern.

In 2021, the BC Conservation Data Centre (CDC) listing did not change for any wildlife species with potential to occur in the Site C Project area.

## 7.0 Mitigation and Monitoring Measures-Environmental Assessment Certificate Conditions

Conditions 9 to 12, 14 to 16, 19, 21, 23, and 24 of the Environmental Assessment Certificate, respectively, set out the mitigation and monitoring requirements for the Project's effects on vegetation and ecological communities and wildlife resources.

### 7.1 EAC Condition 9

This section of the annual report summarizes the programs implemented in 2021 in accordance with the requirements of Condition 9. For context, the complete requirements of Condition 9 are shown below.

#### **EAC Condition 9**

The EAC Holder must develop a Vegetation and Invasive Plant Management Plan to protect ecosystems, plant habitats, plant communities, and vegetation with components applicable to the construction phase.

The Vegetation and Invasive Plant Management Plan must be developed by a QEP.

The Vegetation and Invasive Plant Management Plan must include at least the following:

#### **Invasive Species**

- Surveys of existing invasive species populations prior to construction.
- Invasive plant control measures to manage established invasive species populations and to prevent invasive species establishment.

#### **Rare Plants and Sensitive Ecosystems**

- The EAC Holder must expand its modelling, including completing field work, to improve identification of rare and sensitive plant communities and aid in delineation of habitats that may require extra care, 90 days prior to any Project activities that may affect these rare or sensitive plant communities
- The EAC Holder must, with the use of a QEP, complete an inventory in areas not already surveyed and use rare plant location information as inputs to final design of access roads and transmission lines. These pre- construction surveys must target rare plants as defined in Section 13.2.2 of the EIS—including vascular plants, mosses, and lichens.
- The EAC Holder must create and maintain a spatial database of known rare plant occurrences in the vicinity of Project components that must be searched to avoid effects to rare plants during construction activities. The database must be updated as new information becomes available and any findings of new rare plant species occurrences must be submitted to Environment Canada and MOE using provincial data collection standards.
- The EAC Holder must implement construction methods to reduce the impact to rare plants, maximize use of existing access corridors, and construct transmission towers and temporary roads away from wetlands and known rare plant occurrences.

- The EAC Holder must implement construction methods to reduce the impact to rare plants, maximize use of existing access corridors, and construct transmission towers and temporary roads away from wetlands and known rare plant occurrences.
- Protect known occurrences of Tufa seeps, wetlands and rare plants located adjacent to construction areas. Install signage and flagging where necessary, as determined by the QEP, to indicate the boundaries of the exclusion area.
- The EAC Holder will engage the services of a Rare Plant Botanist during construction to design and implement an experimental rare plant translocation program in consultation with MOE using the BC MOE's Guidelines for Translocation of Plant Species at Risk in BC (Maslovat, 2009).

The EAC Holder must provide this draft Vegetation and Invasive Plant Management Plan to Environment Canada, FLNR, MOE, and Aboriginal Groups for review a minimum of 90 days prior to construction and operation phases.

The EAC Holder must file the final Vegetation and Invasive Plant Management Plan with EAO, Environment Canada, FLNR, MOE, and Aboriginal Groups, a minimum of 30 days prior to construction and operation phases.

The EAC Holder must develop, implement and adhere to the final Vegetation and Invasive Plant Management Plan, and any amendments, to the satisfaction of EAO.

### 7.1.1 Invasive Plant Control

BC Hydro and its contractors adhered to the invasive plant mitigation measures described in Section 4.15 of CEMP and in the Invasive Weed Mitigation and Adaptive Management Plan (IWMAMP). Numerous invasive plant control measures for the Project continued in 2021:

- invasive plant removal through hand pulling;
- biocontrol implementation for toadflax along river road
- on-going inventories of invasive plant locations;
- hydroseeding of exposed slopes across the Project area;
- regular vehicle inspections and cleaning through various methods so that vehicles are clean and free of dirt and invasive plants when transitioning between sites and into the Project area;
- BC Hydro and contractors utilise an operational wash station on site during non-frozen conditions;
- An Invasive Species Management Contractor was sourced by BC Hydro in 2018. That contractor will provide specialized support invasive species management support on the dam site, transmission line, reservoir, Hwy 29 realignment and other off-site locations through 2024

### 7.1.2 Inventory areas not already surveyed

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 9: *The EAC Holder must, with the use of a QEP, complete an inventory in areas not already surveyed and use rare plant location information as inputs to final design of access roads and transmission lines. These pre- construction surveys must target rare plants as defined in Section 13.2.2 of the EIS—including vascular plants, mosses, and lichens.*

Please see Section 6.4.1.1 for pre-construction rare plant surveys conducted in areas not already surveyed. Rare plant location data collected in 2021 was used to update the Environmental Features Map for contractors to access in their planning so that impacts to rare plants could be mitigated.

### 7.1.3 Spatial database of known rare plant occurrences

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 9: *The EAC Holder must create and maintain a spatial database of known rare plant occurrences in the vicinity of Project components that must be searched to avoid effects to rare plants during construction activities. The database must be updated as new information becomes available and any findings of new rare plant species occurrences must be submitted to Environment Canada and MOE using provincial data collection standards.*

The Site C Environmental Features Database and Environmental Features Map was updated with the 2021 rare plant data on 14 September, when it was available to contractors for use in planning.

The 2021 rare plant data were submitted to the Program Botanist at the BC Conservation Data Center, MOECCS on 28 January 2021.

Voucher specimens were collected in 2021 and submitted to the UBC herbarium on 26 January 2022.

### 7.1.4 Rare plant avoidance

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 9: *The EAC Holder must implement construction methods to reduce the impact to rare plants, maximize use of existing access corridors, and construct transmission towers and temporary roads away from wetlands and known rare plant occurrences.*

General mitigation to minimize impacts to wetlands, where rare plants are often concentrated is described in Section 6.3.1.

Rare plant location data collected in 2021 were used to update the Environmental Features Map for BC Hydro and contractors to access in their planning so that impacts to known occurrences of rare plants could be mitigated.

The way that BC Hydro fulfilled this part of Condition 9 during the transmission line design phase was described in the 2015 annual report. Tower types selected are capable of supporting longer spans of conductor than those originally planned, which will reduce the overall number of towers required. Tower pad placement has been adjusted to minimize impacts to wetlands within engineering constraints. As a result, the total number of towers was reduced from 433 in the conceptual design down to 409 in the current design. The number of wetlands impacted was 102 in the conceptual design and is 64 in the current design. Occurrences of rare plants have been avoided through transmission line design and tower placement to the degree feasible.

Further practices for avoidance of rare plant occurrences are described in Section 4.15 of the CEMP. All known rare plant occurrences are stored in the Site C Environmental Features Database and displayed on the Environmental Features Map (see Section 7.1.3).

Contractors are required to avoid impacting rare plant occurrences, where feasible. Where complete avoidance is not feasible, contractors are required to employ measures to reduce adverse effects, such as by timing construction activities in winter months and frozen ground conditions, placing ramps or mats over occurrences to reduce soil compaction, using rubber-tired equipment, and implementing designated travel routes to and from work sites.

Additional mitigation for rare plant occurrences that cannot be avoided is through the Experimental Rare Plant Translocation program, in which rare plant propagules are being

collected, propagated, out-planted and monitored (see Sections 7.1.6, 7.5.1 and 7.5.2).

### **7.1.5 Protect tufa seeps, wetlands and rare plants located adjacent to construction areas**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 9: *Protect known occurrences of Tufa seeps, wetlands and rare plants located adjacent to construction areas. Install signage and flagging where necessary, as determined by the QEP, to indicate the boundaries of the exclusion area.*

Mitigation to minimize impacts to wetlands and rare plants adjacent to construction areas is described in the CEMP, and further described in detail in Sections 6.3.1 and 6.3.3 of this report for tufa seeps and wetlands, and Section 7.1.4 for rare plants.

Tufa seeps are present on the south bank of the eastern reservoir, where clearing occurred in 2019. Mitigation to minimize impacts on the tufa seep consisted of no ground equipment within the feature, and trees were directionally felled away from the tufa seep to the degree feasible.

A tufa seep will be partially impacted due to the construction of the Hudson's Hope shoreline protection berm, which began in 2021 and is planned to continue in 2022. Impacts are being reduced through design and fencing is planned to protect areas of the tufa seep that can be avoided. Prior to filter placement, rock hammer usage on the tufa was limited to overhangs and other inconsistent gradients as opposed to a full excavation. Also, one of the larger seep's flows was diverted, minimizing impacts necessary for construction of that section of the berm.

A tufa seep is present on the north bank of the Peace River at Bear Flat/Cache creek. Clearing occurred in the vicinity of the tufa seep in 2020. Impacts to the seep itself were avoided during construction, although a drainage channel was constructed at the west/rear edge of the Pier 1 berm for the Cache Creek Bridge so that the seep will run off the North and South edges of the berm.

### **7.1.6 Experimental Rare Plant Translocation Program**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 9: *The EAC Holder will engage the services of a Rare Plant Botanist during construction to design and implement an experimental rare plant translocation program in consultation with MOE using the BC MOE's Guidelines for Translocation of Plant Species at Risk in BC (Maslovat, 2009).*

The Experimental Rare Plant Translocation program was developed in consultation with MOECCS, FLNRORD and CWS through the VWTC, and is described in detail in Section 7.5.1. Collection of seeds began in 2017. In 2021, propagule collection continued, along with translocation implementation and translocation monitoring. A technical memorandum summarizing the results of the 2021 field program is Appendix 8.

## **7.3 EAC Condition 11**

This section of the annual report summarizes the programs implemented in 2019 in accordance with the requirements of Condition 11.

For context, the complete requirements of Condition 11 are shown below.

<b>EAC Condition 11</b>
-------------------------



EAC Holder must compensate for the loss of rare and sensitive habitats and protect occurrences of rare plants by developing, or funding the development and implementation of a compensation program, during construction, that includes:

- Assistance (financial or in-kind) to the managing organization of suitable habitat enhancement projects in the RAA (RAA as defined in the amended EIS).
- Direct purchase of lands in the RAA and manage these lands and suitable existing properties owned by the EAC Holder to enhance or retain rare plant values where opportunities exist.

The EAC Holder must engage with FLNR, MOE and Aboriginal Groups with regard to the development of the compensation program.

### **7.3.1 Habitat Enhancement Projects in the RAA**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 11: *EAC Holder must compensate for the loss of rare and sensitive habitats and protect occurrences of rare plants by developing, or funding the development and implementation of a compensation program, during construction, that includes assistance (financial or in-kind) to the managing organization of suitable habitat enhancement projects in the RAA (RAA as defined in the amended EIS).*

Habitat enhancement activities to compensate for the loss of rare and sensitive habitats and for protecting occurrences of rare plants are being conducted through Ducks Unlimited Canada for wetland compensation activities (Section 6.3.2), and Ecologic Consultants through the Saulteau-EBA Environmental Services Joint Venture for the Experimental Rare Plant Translocation Program (Section 7.1.6).

### **7.3.2 Direct purchase of lands in the RAA to enhance or retain rare plant values**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 11: *EAC Holder must compensate for the loss of rare and sensitive habitats and protect occurrences of rare plants by developing, or funding the development and implementation of a compensation program, during construction, that includes direct purchase of lands in the RAA and manage these lands and suitable existing properties owned by the EAC Holder to enhance or retain rare plant values where opportunities exist.*

In 2014 BC Hydro purchased the Marl Fen property, located outside Hudson's Hope. This property supports several rare plant species. This property is being managed to maintain rare plants along with other wildlife and vegetation values. Results of surveys documenting species that occur within the property are provided in the 2015 Annual Report for the VWWMP.

### **7.3.3 Engaging with FLNRORD, MOECCS and Indigenous Groups**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 11: *The EAC Holder must engage with FLNR, MOE and Aboriginal Groups with regard to the development of the compensation program.*

BC Hydro continues to engage with FLNRORD and MOECCS through the VWTC regarding the development of the compensation program for the loss of rare and sensitive habitats and to protect occurrences of rare plants. BC Hydro continues to engage with Indigenous Groups

through ongoing communications, such as direct requests for assistance in identifying appropriate wetland compensation opportunities. In addition, BC Hydro engages with Indigenous Groups through regularly scheduled permitting and environmental forums. BC Hydro hosted six environmental forums with Indigenous Groups remotely in 2021, covering subjects that included rare plants, plants of traditional importance, wetlands, and expected Site C construction impacts on beavers.

#### **7.4 EAC Condition 12**

This section of the annual report summarizes the programs implemented in 2019 in accordance with the requirements of Condition 12.

Details regarding the Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan and wetland mapping are described in Section 7.4.1 and 7.4.1.1, respectively. Additional details regarding maintaining hydrological balance at wetlands, sedimentation barriers, stormwater management, implementation of approved work practices and Develop with Care are presented in Section 7.3 of the 2017 VWMMP Annual Report.

For context, the complete requirements of Condition 12 are shown below.

#### **EAC Condition 12**

The EAC Holder must develop a Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan. The Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan must include an assessment of wetland function lost as a result of the Project that is important to migratory birds and species at risk (wildlife and plants). The Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan must be developed by a QEP with experience in wetland enhancement, maintenance and development.

The Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan must include at least the following:

- Information on location, size and type of wetlands affected by the Project;
- If roads cannot avoid wetlands, culverts will be installed under access roads to maintain hydrological balance, and sedimentation barriers will be installed;
- Stormwater management will be designed to control runoff and direct it away from work areas where excavation, spoil placement, and staging activities occur.

Develop, with the assistance of a hydrologist, site-specific measures prior to construction to reduce changes to the existing hydrologic balance and wetland function during construction of the Jackfish Lake Road and Project access roads and transmission line.

- All activities that involve potentially harmful or toxic substances, such as oil, fuel, antifreeze, and concrete, must follow approved work practices and consider the provincial BMP guidebook Develop with Care (BC Ministry of Environment 2012 or as amended from time to time).
- A defined mitigation hierarchy that prioritizes mitigation actions to be undertaken, including but not limited to:
  - Avoid direct effects where feasible;
  - Minimize direct effects where avoidance is not feasible;
  - Maintain or improve hydrology where avoidance is not feasible;
  - Replace like for like where wetlands will be lost, in terms of functions and compensation in terms of area;
  - Improve the function of existing wetland habitats; and
  - Create new wetland habitat

The EAC Holder must monitor construction and operation activities that could cause changes in wetland functions.

The EAC Holder must provide this draft Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan to Environment Canada, FLNR, MOE, Aboriginal Groups, Peace River Regional District and District of Hudson's Hope for review a minimum of 90 days prior to any activity affecting the wetlands.

The EAC Holder must file the final Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan with EAO, Environment Canada, FLNR, MOE, Peace River Regional District, District of Hudson's Hope and Aboriginal Groups, a minimum of 30 days prior to any activity affecting the wetlands.

The EAC Holder must develop, implement and adhere to the final Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan, and any amendments, to the satisfaction of EAO.

### **7.4.1 Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan**

Condition 12 requires: *The EAC Holder must develop a Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan. The Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan must include an assessment of wetland function lost as a result of the Project that is important to migratory birds and species at risk (wildlife and plants). The Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan must be developed by a QEP with experience in wetland enhancement, maintenance and development.*

Please see Section 6.3 for a description of the components of the Wetland Mitigation and Compensation Plan:

- Section 6.3.1, 6.3.3 and 6.3.5 describe mitigation to avoid or minimize impacts to wetlands to the degree feasible.
- Section 6.3.2 describes the status of wetland compensation plan development, the wetland monitoring program and the Wetland Function Assessment Tool, which combined represent the measurement and compensation of wetland impacts.

#### **7.4.1.1 Information on location, size and type of wetlands affected by the Project**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 12: *Information on location, size and type of wetlands affected by the Project.*

Three spatial datasets are available that describe the location, size and type of wetlands that may be affected by the Project: TEM habitat mapping; detailed wetland mapping; and a dataset produced by Maple Leaf Forestry. The TEM was generated in and around the Project Activity Zone (PAZ) to encompass the Peace River, the transmission line, and other sites within the PAZ. Polygons in the TEM were produced at a 1:20,000 scale, delineated using aerial photography, characterized with aerial photography combined with Vegetation Resources Inventory (VRI) forest cover mapping, and ground-truthed using field sampling. The TEM was used to generate estimates of wetland area to be affected by construction in the PAZ in the EIS, and is being updated based on the results of wetland monitoring.

Detailed wetland mapping was created by BC Hydro to be finer scale wetland mapping than the TEM data. Within a TEM polygon, wetland boundaries were delineated using aerial photos that were either at a 1:5,000 or 1:15,000 scale. This allowed for greater detail to delineate the wetland edge. The detailed wetland mapping was completed along the transmission line corridor and the Peace River. It was delineated by first identifying all TEM polygons classified as wetland habitat. Using large scale aerial photographs, the boundaries of any wetland that fell

within a TEM wetland polygon were then delineated and the habitat type of the TEM wetland polygon was assigned to the newly delineated wetland(s). In some cases the TEM wetland was divided up into several smaller wetlands while in others the edge of the TEM wetland was only modified based on the higher detail aerial photographs used. Also, in some cases, wetlands have been delineated outside of TEM wetland polygons. A Field Truthing Required (FTR) label was assigned to any wetland where wetland classification needed refining. Because the detailed wetland mapping polygons follow wetland edge, this GIS dataset is useful for characterizing wetlands that may be affected.

In October 2017, Maple Leaf Forestry Ltd. conducted an assessment and classification of wetlands impacted by the transmission line RoW. This consisted of field visits to identify all the wetlands in the RoW, categorize them into a wetland type, and delineate the boundaries of the wetland. Wetlands were categorized into the same wetland types as in the TEM while also classified into a Wetland Riparian Class of the Forest Practices and Planning Regulation (FPPR) under the Forest and Range Practices Act (FRPA). All wetlands in the transmission line were classified as W1, W3, W5, or a non-classified wetland. The Wetland Riparian Class was used to identify the minimum riparian management area width, riparian reserve zone width and riparian management zone width for the wetland. Because the Maple Leaf Forestry dataset has field-verified wetland edges and type, there is a greater level of accuracy associated with this dataset; however, wetland mapping and characterization was only conducted along the transmission line RoW, and therefore its usefulness for characterizing wetlands that may be affected by the Project is limited.

Although each dataset has its limitations, the TEM, detailed and Maple Leaf wetland habitat mapping can be used in association with each other. Additional wetland delineation was done in 2021 through the wetland monitoring program (Section 6.3.2).

## 7.5 EAC Condition 14

This section of the annual report summarizes the programs as implemented in 2021 in accordance with the requirements of Condition 14.

For context, the complete requirements of Condition 14 are shown below.

### **EAC Condition 14**

The EAC Holder must develop a Vegetation and Ecological Communities Monitoring and Follow-up Program for the construction phase and first 10 years of the operations phase. The Vegetation and Ecological Communities Monitoring and Follow-up Program must be developed by a QEP.

The Vegetation and Ecological Communities Monitoring and Follow-up Program must include at least the following:

- Definition of the study design for the rare plant translocation program (see condition 9).
- Plan for following-up monitoring of any translocation sites to assess the survival and health of translocated rare plant species, under the supervision of a Rare Plant Botanist.
- Measurement criteria, including vegetation growth, persistence of rare plants and establishment / spread of invasive plant species, and associated monitoring to document the effectiveness of habitat enhancement and possible compensation programs.

The Vegetation and Ecological Communities Monitoring and Follow-up Program reporting must occur annually during construction and the first 10 years of operations, beginning 180 days following commencement of construction.

### **7.5.1 Definition of the study design for the Experimental Rare Plant Translocation Program**

As outlined in the VWMPP, the study design for the Experimental Rare Plant Translocation program will follow a five-step approach, as outlined in Maslovat (2009)<sup>6</sup>. The goals of the experimental rare plant translocation program are to contribute to the following:

- the viability of target rare plant species through propagule collection, propagation and translocation; and
- the field of plant translocation based on the findings from the seeding, propagation, translocation, management, and monitoring measures.

The primary objective of the ERPT is to establish new populations or augment extant populations of target rare plant species using established and, where necessary, experimental techniques.

The ERPT program also has the following secondary objectives:

- support the conservation of the target species by promoting a self-sustaining population;
- maintain local genetic diversity of target species;
- re-establish individuals of target species in high-risk areas into secure, analogous habitat; and
- produce a secondary supply of viable plant stock in the case that supplementing translocated populations is required.

There are four strategies that will be employed in achieving the goals and objectives of the program:

1. Translocate rare plant species through plant salvage, collection of vegetative propagules, and/or seeds from populations that will or may be lost (e.g., lost due to clearing activities or creation of the reservoir).
2. Document the survival of the translocated rare plants through population monitoring at re-location sites through the Site C construction period and up to the first 10 years of the operations phase.
3. Manage translocated populations as needed depending on the results of monitoring.
4. Improve the theory and practice of rare plant translocation and increase knowledge of the biology and ecology of targeted rare plant species.

The results of the study will be made publicly available as part of the annual Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Program report so that learnings are accessible to others, thereby adding to the relevant knowledge base and improving the theory/practice of rare plant translocation. A summary of the Experimental Rare Plant Translocation program activities in 2021 is presented in Appendix 8.

The program at its current state of development consists of four main phases over seven years of study (2016 to 2022):

---

<sup>6</sup> Maslovat, C. 2009. Guidelines for translocation of plant species at risk in British Columbia. British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Victoria, BC.

- 1. Literature review and program development (2016-2022).** The literature review and program development is underway and will continue throughout the duration of the ERPT program. A review of existing guidance, methodologies, and results of previous rare plant translocation projects worldwide is ongoing. The lessons learned through these studies and analyses are being used to inform the structure and methods of the ERPT program.
- 2. Propagule collection (2017 to 2021).** The standards for collecting and storing propagules for ex-situ conservation (e.g., timing, sampling, labelling, cleaning, processing, stratification, sowing, and provenance) incorporate guidance outlined in Maslovat (2009) and by the European Native Seed Conservation Network (2009)<sup>7</sup>. The program is designed to collect seeds and cuttings or whole plants and to characterize the site conditions at the source locations. The level of risk to each plant population is being used to prioritize sites for the collection program and will be used for future collection activities, as appropriate. The level of risk is determined based on the expected clearing date, rarity of the plant, and predicted propagule collection timing.  
  
Propagule collection is occurring throughout the growing season and takes into consideration local plant phenology and propagation. Field teams are conducting multiple site visits to collect seeds on a number of occasions as appropriate based on seed availability and readiness.
- 3. Ex-situ propagation (2017 to 2023).** This phase of the ERPT Program involves the evaluation of methods and implementation of seed cleaning, drying, storage, stratification, and ex-situ propagation for each individual taxon. Depending on the species and seed type, seeds are either being dried or cleaned following collection to ensure maximum viability. Cleaning includes the removal of waste material from the seed itself and involves the use of sieves, hand separation, and water baths and drying, as appropriate. Stratification is conducted as needed, whereby seeds are treated with cold or moist heat to simulate natural germination conditions. Stratification is the term for the series of controlled external conditions a seed is exposed to in order to break dormancy, and is designed to emulate the environmental conditions that a seed would be exposed to in nature. Many (but not all) seeds require stratification to break seed dormancy and permit germination. Some seeds also require a pre-treatment, such as mechanical or acid scarification, to weaken the seed coat prior to stratification. Seeds that do not require stratification are stored until spring. Propagation methods for asexual and sexual propagation for each species are being investigated in the context of the ecological conditions observed at the source populations.
- 4. Translocation implementation (2018 to 2024).** The detailed methods for translocation implementation are being refined based on data collected during field activities. Translocation implementation involves site selection, site preparation and seeding and/or planting at recipient sites. Efforts will be made to determine if any site preparation (for intact habitats) or site engineering (for restoration sites) is required before translocation and to identify if habitat manipulation after the translocation will be required. Recipient sites will be prepared as necessary prior to the translocation, including invasive plant species removal (and implementation of steps to minimize introduction during the translocation process), soil amendment, and sculpting microcatchments. Specific planting techniques for founder plants (i.e., those plants

---

<sup>7</sup> ENSCONET. 2009a. Seed Collecting Manual for Wild Species. Main editors: Royal Botanic Gardens (UK) & Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (Spain). Edition 1: 17 March 2009.

initially transplanted at a recipient site) are being developed for each species. The specific timing windows for planting will be determined based on the plant phenology, the development stage of the propagated plants, and the local weather and soil moisture conditions. Initial translocation occurred in September 2018. Additional planting was completed in 2019, 2020 and 2021. Planting efforts are incorporating the key findings from previous planting efforts. Some stock is being withheld from planting as insurance should inclement conditions negatively affect the initial out-planting stock.

- 5. Post-translocation care, maintenance and monitoring (2018 to 2035).** Post-translocation care, maintenance, and monitoring commences immediately after each translocation event is completed. Post-translocation plant care and site management assesses the survival of translocated populations and addresses factors affecting the survival or health of the translocated plants. The first two to three years of follow-up site visits and data collection (i.e., short-term monitoring) will inform the frequency and level of effort required for post-translocation care and additional monitoring in subsequent years (i.e. long-term monitoring). Translocated populations that are achieving identified targets will still require long-term monitoring, but may require less frequent follow-up visits than populations that are not achieving key metrics and require more active management. Monitoring the success or failure of the methods will assist in identifying opportunities for improvement within an adaptive management framework. This information can also help to inform other translocation projects, thereby improving the overall success of rare plant translocation as a tool for biodiversity conservation.

### **7.5.2 Plan for monitoring translocations**

Experimental Rare Plant Translocation Program monitoring will document a suite of parameters designed to evaluate the efficacy of translocation methods in relation to the stated objectives of the program. All actions associated with the translocation (see Section 7.5.1) will be fully documented to retain as much information as possible on the pathway of a given plant (e.g., from seed collection to planting) to facilitate post-hoc assessments of success. Specifically, the monitoring program will measure, document, and evaluate the following:

1. the efficacy of the methods used to a) characterize donor and recipient sites, b) collect and store plant propagules, c) conduct ex-situ propagation; and d) translocate the rare plant species from the host site to the recipient sites;
2. the efficacy of the techniques used for managing the translocated plant propagules (e.g. site preparation, watering, weeding, fertilizing);
3. the survival of the translocated rare plant species through monitoring of population size, extent, threats, resilience, and persistence; and
4. the success of follow up procedures applied to address any declines in survival or fitness of the translocated plants.

### **7.5.3 Measurement criteria for effectiveness monitoring of habitat enhancement and compensation programs**

Please see Section 7.5.2 for how the effectiveness of the rare plant translocation program will be measured.

## 7.6 EAC Condition 15

This section of the annual report summarizes the programs implemented in 2021 in accordance with the requirements of Condition 15.

For context, the complete requirements of Condition 15 are shown below.

### **EAC Condition 15**

The EAC Holder must develop a Wildlife Management Plan. The Wildlife Management Plan must be developed by a QEP.

The Wildlife Management Plan must include at least the following:

- Field work, conducted by a QEP, to verify the modelled results for surveyed species at risk and determine, with specificity and by ecosystem, the habitat lost or fragmented for those species. The EAC Holder must use these resulting data to inform final Project design and to develop additional mitigation measures, as needed, as part of the Wildlife Management Plan, in consultation with Environment Canada and FLNR.
- Measures to avoid, if feasible, constructing in sensitive wildlife habitats. If avoiding sensitive wildlife habitats is not feasible, condition 16 applies.
- If sensitive habitats, such as wetlands, are located immediately adjacent to any work site, buffer zones must be established by a QEP to avoid direct disturbance to these sites.
- Protocol for the application of construction methods, equipment, material and timing of activities to mitigate adverse effects to wildlife and wildlife habitat.
- Protocol to ensure that lighting is focused on work sites and away from surrounding areas to manage light pollution and disturbance to wildlife. If lighting cannot be directed away from surrounding areas, the EAC Holder must ensure additional mitigation measures are implemented to reduce light pollution, including light shielding.
- A mandatory environmental training program for all workers so that they are informed that hunting in the vicinity of any work site/Project housing site is strictly prohibited for all workers.

The EAC Holder must ensure that all workers are familiar with the Wildlife Management Plan.

The EAC Holder must submit this draft Wildlife Management Plan to Environment Canada, FLNR, MOE and Aboriginal Groups for review a minimum of 90 days prior to the commencement of construction.

The EAC Holder must file the final Wildlife Management Plan with EAO, Environment Canada, FLN, MOE and Aboriginal Groups, a minimum of 30 days prior to commencement of construction.

The EAC Holder must develop, implement and adhere to the final Wildlife Management Plan, and any amendments, to the satisfaction of EAO.

### **7.6.1 Measures to avoid, if feasible constructing in sensitive wildlife habitats**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 15: *Measures to avoid, if feasible, constructing in sensitive wildlife habitats. If avoiding sensitive wildlife habitats is not feasible, condition 16 applies.*

Measures to avoid impacts to sensitive wildlife habitats are described in Section 4.17 of Revision 5 of the CEMP:

- Avoid construction activity within Important Wildlife Areas, including designated setback buffers determined by a QEP, where feasible. Important Wildlife Areas are defined in the



CEMP as habitat areas that animals use around the same time each year, such as the following:

- wetlands;
  - snake hibernacula;
  - bat hibernacula;
  - sharp-tailed grouse leks;
  - beaver lodges, dams and food caches;
  - active furbearer and large carnivore den sites;
  - active bird nests;
  - mineral licks;
  - habitat used by ungulates for winter range; and
  - amphibian breeding sites and migration routes.
- Except within the dam site area, on designated access roads and during clearing, construction activities are prohibited within 15 m of the Ordinary High Water Mark of streams or wetlands, unless the activity was described in the EIS and is accepted by BC Hydro (CEMP Section 4.5);
  - Guidance to minimize impacts to raptor nests;
  - Protocol for conducting sharp-tailed grouse lek monitoring and a decision tree for various lek activity scenarios to minimize impacts to sharp-tailed grouse leks (see also Appendix 7 of the 2016 Annual Report); and
  - Measures for minimizing impacts to amphibian breeding and migration areas (see also Section 6.4.1.2).

### **7.6.2 Setback buffers to avoid direct impacts to sensitive habitats**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 15: *If sensitive habitats, such as wetlands, are located immediately adjacent to any work site, buffer zones must be established by a QEP to avoid direct disturbance to these sites*

As specified above in Section 7.6.1, Revision 5 of the CEMP (Section 4.17), construction activity is to be avoided within Important Wildlife Areas, including in designated setback buffers as determined by a QEP, where feasible. Wetland avoidance measures are discussed further in Section 6.3.1.

Procedures for determining appropriate situation- and species-specific disturbance setback buffers to be applied around locations where bird nests are present are discussed in Section 6.1.1 (migratory birds).

### **7.6.3 Mitigation of adverse effects to wildlife and wildlife habitat**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 15: *Protocol for the application of construction methods, equipment, material and timing of activities to mitigate adverse effects to wildlife and wildlife habitat.*

Mitigation of adverse effects to wildlife is discussed in Sections 7.6.1 and 7.6.2. Section 6.4.1.2 provides a summary of mitigation applied to minimize adverse impacts to amphibians. Revisions 5 and 6 of the CEMP (Section 4.17) specify that, where feasible, vegetation clearing will take place during Peace Region terrestrial wildlife least-risk windows. Least risk timing windows for wildlife are described in Table 5 of the CEMP.

Where clearing outside of least-risk timing windows cannot be avoided, pre-clearing surveys are required, with disturbance setback buffers determined by a QEP.

#### **7.6.4 Protocol to ensure that lighting is focused on work sites**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 15: *Protocol to ensure that lighting is focused on work sites and away from surrounding areas to manage light pollution and disturbance to wildlife. If lighting cannot be directed away from surrounding areas, the EAC Holder must ensure additional mitigation measures are implemented to reduce light pollution, including light shielding.*

Section 4.17 of the CEMP requires contractors to focus lighting on work sites and away from surrounding areas to minimize light. CEMP requirements are audited by site Environmental Monitors and the Independent Environmental Monitor to determine and enforce compliance.

#### **7.6.5 Environmental training of workers**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 15: *A mandatory environmental training program for all workers so that they are informed that hunting in the vicinity of any work site/Project housing site is strictly prohibited for all workers. The EAC Holder must ensure that all workers are familiar with the Wildlife Management Plan.*

All workers are required to attend both a BCH orientation and a contractor specific orientation prior to starting work on-site. A component of these training sessions is environmental training for workers. Completion of these sessions is required prior to the issuance of site access cards for BC Hydro employees and contractors.

#### **7.7 EAC Condition 16**

This section of the annual report summarizes the programs implemented in 2021 in accordance with the requirements of Condition 16.

For context, the complete requirements of Condition 16 are shown below.

##### **EAC Condition 16**

If loss of sensitive wildlife habitat or important wildlife areas cannot be avoided through Project design or otherwise mitigated, the EAC Holder must implement the following measures, which must be described in the Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan.

The Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan must include the following compensation measures:

- Compensation options for wetlands must include fish-free areas to manage the effects of fish predation on invertebrate and amphibian eggs and larvae and young birds.
- Mitigation for the loss of snake hibernacula, artificial dens must be included during habitat compensation.
- Management of EAC Holder-owned lands adjacent to the Peace River suitable as breeding habitat for Northern Harrier and Short-eared Owl.
- Establishment of nest boxes for cavity-nesting waterfowl developed as part of wetland mitigation and compensation plan, and established within riparian vegetation zones established along the reservoir on BC Hydro-owned properties.
- A design for bat roosting habitat in HWY 29 bridges to BC Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) for consideration into new bridge designs located within the Peace River valley.

- Following rock extraction at Portage Mountain, creation of hibernating and roosting sites for bats.
- Creation of natural or artificial piles of coarse woody debris dispersed throughout the disturbed landscape to maintain foraging areas and cold-weather rest sites, and arboreal resting sites, for the fisher population south of the Peace River.

The EAC Holder must provide this draft Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan to Environment Canada, FLNR, MOE, and Aboriginal Groups for review a minimum of 90 days prior to the commencement of construction.

The EAC Holder must file the final Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan with EAO, Environment Canada, FLNR MOE, and Aboriginal Groups, a minimum of 30 days prior to commencement of construction.

The EAC Holder must develop, implement and adhere to the final Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan, and any amendments, to the satisfaction of EAO.

### **7.7.1 Wetland compensation that includes fish-free areas**

In 2019, Ducks Unlimited Canada conducted the physical works necessary at Golata Canyon Ranch to create approximately 50 ha of sedge wetland. That wetland is fed by non-fish bearing surface water, and the wetland is fish-free. Further wetland compensation opportunities are being explored for development and will include additional fish-free areas.

### **7.7.2 Mitigation for the loss of snake hibernacula**

Six artificial hibernacula for gartersnake overwintering were constructed in 2020 on the north side of the Peace River. One additional snake den is planned to be constructed in association with the Cache Creek Bridge, which is planned for construction in 2023. The 2021 annual report on monitoring of the installed gartersnake artificial hibernacula is Appendix 9.

### **7.7.3 Nest boxes for cavity-nesting waterfowl**

Thirteen different nest box designs were constructed to accommodate 21 species of cavity nesting birds, with some box designs intended to support multiple species. Between 2017 and 2020, 266 nest boxes were installed on trees and structures on BC Hydro owned and managed lands, and on private lands where permission was granted. Twenty boxes have been installed near the lower reservoir, 77 near the eastern reservoir, 38 near the middle reservoir and 131 near the western reservoir. Nest boxes were strategically placed in areas determined to be most beneficial to each species group, while also considering availability of land and suitable access for installation and future mitigation effectiveness monitoring.

Monitoring of nest boxes began in the breeding season of 2020. In 2021, it was found that one box had been destroyed by a falling tree, 10 could not be found, and 14 boxes had been removed from areas where the clearing boundary had been updated and was overlapping the box locations. Boxes will be replaced when it is feasible to do so (i.e., when clearing and highway construction activities will not interfere). The Cavity Nesting Mitigation and Monitoring Program 2021 Annual Report is Appendix 10.

### **7.7.4 A design for bat roosting habitat in HWY 29 bridges**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 16: *A design for bat roosting habitat in HWY 29 bridges to BC Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) for consideration into new bridge designs*

*located within the Peace River valley.*

During baseline surveys bats were documented using the Farrell Creek, Halfway River and Cache Creek bridges as night roosts. These three (3) bridges and the bridge at Lynx Creek will be inundated by the reservoir. New bridges will be constructed at these locations.

BC Hydro had previously reached an agreement with the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure to install bat roost structures on newly constructed bridges along re-aligned sections of Highway 29 to offset the losses of night roosts on existing bridges. However, on 25 October 2018, BC Hydro received notification from the Regional Manager of Environmental Services, MOTI, that MOTI no longer supports the placement of bat roosting boxes on bridges. Therefore, bat boxes are no longer planned to be integrated into the designs of any new bridges, including the planned Farrell Creek, Halfway River, Cache Creek and Lynx Creek bridges.

### **7.7.5 Creation of hibernating and roosting sites for bats**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 16: *Following rock extraction at Portage Mountain, creation of hibernating and roosting sites for bats.*

In February of 2016 the BC Ministry of Environment released Best Management Practices Guidelines for Bats in British Columbia “Bat BMPs”<sup>8</sup>. These guidelines recommend that a 100 m buffer be established around the core area of bat habitat, which for Portage Mountain is defined as all the suspected hibernacula entrances that had been documented. Within this 100 m, no activities that modify the above or below ground habitat are allowed. The guidelines also recommend a 1 km special management zone, within which blasting activities are permitted if the following can be achieved:

- No blasting to occur between October and May;
- Blasting must be conducted within the following parameters (to avoid damage to the rock structures associated with the hibernacula):
  - the sound concussion is less than 150 dB;
  - the shock wave is less than 15 p.s.i.; and
  - the peak particle velocity is less than 15 mm/s.

To avoid impacting the hibernacula at Portage Mountain that are being used by little brown myotis and northern myotis, BC Hydro moved the quarry to the eastern edge of the License of Occupation area prior to the commencement of construction activities. This relocation achieved a 300 m buffer around 16 documented hibernacula, where no activities or access were permitted. This mitigation is described in detail in Appendix 8 of the 2016 Annual Report.

To avoid disturbance to hibernating bats, BC Hydro has also prohibited blasting at Portage Mountain between September 15 and May 15 (see Section 4.2 of the CEMP); this window was based on data collected at the hibernacula in 2013 and in consultation with bat biologists (see the 2016 Annual Report).

For planned activities at Portage Mountain Quarry, noise modelling was conducted, from which it was determined that at 300m:

- the sound concussion would be 120 dB (below BMP limit of 150 dB);

---

<sup>8</sup> BC MoE. 2016. Best Management Practices Guidelines for Bats in British Columbia. Chapter 2: Mine Developments and Inactive Mine Habitats. 68 pp.

- the shock wave would be 0.002 p.s.i (1 kPa) and (below BMP limit of 15 p.s.i (104 kPa); and
- the peak particle velocity would be 2.84 mm/s (below BMP limit of 15 mm/s).

As described in Section 6.4.3.3, BC Hydro monitored the noise and vibration caused by activity at Portage Mountain Quarry in 2018, 2019 and 2021, and found that blasting within the re-designed quarry boundaries did not exceed the thresholds for noise and vibration defined within the BC MOE Best Management Practices Guidelines for Bats in British Columbia (i.e., air overpressure of less than 150 decibels, shock wave less than 15 p.s.i., and peak particle velocity (PPV) less than 15 mm/second). Noise and vibration modelling were used to supplement available data to determine that also in 2020 blasting likely did not exceed the BC BMP thresholds for noise or vibration at important bat habitat. As described in Section 6.4.3.3, BC Hydro is also conducting year-round monitoring of bat use at Portage Mountain.

Through the broader Site C bat mitigation and monitoring program, BC Hydro has constructed and installed 120 roost boxes and one large bat house in suitable habitat near the future reservoir and dam site.

### 7.7.6 Resting sites for fisher

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 16: *Creation of natural or artificial piles of coarse woody debris dispersed throughout the disturbed landscape to maintain foraging areas and cold-weather rest sites, and arboreal resting sites, for the fisher population south of the Peace River.*

Twenty-five (25) coarse woody debris (CWD) piles for fisher have been created within the dam site area and 66 CWD piles were created along the transmission line. Signs were installed at CWD piles to indicate that they were designated fisher habitat and to prevent their inadvertent disturbance by construction activities.

In addition to CWD piles, BC Hydro has constructed and installed 88 fisher den boxes to help mitigate the loss of denning habitat due to reservoir clearing.

### 7.8 EAC Condition 19

This section of the annual report summarizes the programs implemented in 2021 in accordance with the requirements of Condition 19.

For context, the complete requirements of Condition 19 are shown below.

<p><b>EAC Condition 19</b></p> <p>The EAC Holder must use reasonable efforts to avoid and reduce injury and mortality to amphibians and snakes on roads adjacent to wetlands and other areas where amphibians or snakes are known to migrate across roads including locations with structures designed for wildlife passage</p> <p>The EAC Holder must consult with Environment Canada, FLNR and MOE with regard to the size and number of the proposed structures prior to construction.</p>
---

Appropriate amphibian mitigation is monitored by BC Hydro site Environmental Monitors and the Independent Environmental Monitor against commitments within EPPs to determine and enforce compliance. Amphibian mitigation activities are summarized in Section 6.4.1.2.

Work sites are being regularly monitored during the spring and summer for western toad migration and dispersal, as per the Western Toad Management Procedure. Western toad movement patterns have not yet resulted in mass movements across access roads such that specific structures designed for amphibian passage have been required. However, due to specific concerns regarding western toad mitigation at Portage Mountain Quarry during a BC Environmental Assessment Office (EAO) inspection in 2016, a suitable location for installation of an amphibian crossing structure was identified based on a habitat assessment and observations of western toad movement patterns. A 15 m long 1,000 mm diameter culvert was installed along the access road to Portage Mountain, following guidance described in Best Management Practices for Amphibians and Reptiles in Urban and Rural Environments in British Columbia (BC MWLAP 2004<sup>9</sup>).

## 7.9 EAC Condition 21

This section of the annual report summarizes the programs implemented in 2021 in accordance with the requirements of Condition 21.

For context, the complete requirements of Condition 21 are shown below.

### **EAC Condition 21**

The EAC Holder must ensure that measures implemented to manage harmful Project effects on wildlife resources are effective by implementing monitoring measures detailed in a Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan. The Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan must be developed by a QEP.

The Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan must include at least the following:

- Monitor Bald Eagle nesting populations adjacent to the reservoir, including their use of artificial nest structures.
- Monitor waterfowl and shorebird populations and their use of natural wetlands, created wetlands, and artificial wetland features.
- Monitor amphibian use of migration crossing structures installed along Project roads.
- Survey songbird and ground-nesting raptor populations during construction and operations.
- Survey the distribution of western toad and garter snake populations downstream of the Site C dam to the Pine River.
- Require annual reporting during the construction phase and during the first 10 years of operations to EAO, beginning 180 days following commencement of construction.

The EAC Holder must provide this draft Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan to FLNR, MOE, Environment Canada and Aboriginal Groups for review a minimum of 90 days prior to the commencement of construction.

The EAC Holder must file the final Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan with EAO, FLNR, MOE, Environment Canada and Aboriginal Groups a minimum 30 days prior to the commencement of construction.

The EAC Holder must develop, implement and adhere to the final Vegetation and Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan, and any amendments, to the satisfaction of EAO.

---

<sup>9</sup> BC Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection. 2004. Best Management Practices for Amphibians and Reptiles in Urban and Rural Environments in British Columbia. 159 pp.

### **7.9.1 Monitoring of Bald Eagle nesting populations**

Known bald eagle nest locations along the Peace River and at natural wetlands adjacent to the Site C transmission line right-of-way were surveyed by helicopter over three days in May and June 2021. A summary of the methods and results of bald eagle nest aerial monitoring in 2021 is presented in Appendix 11.

### **7.9.2 Monitoring waterfowl and shorebird populations**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 21: *Monitor waterfowl and shorebird populations and their use of natural wetlands, created wetlands, and artificial wetland features.*

A summary of the waterbird survey program is presented in Section 6.1.3.4 and Waterbirds Follow-up Monitoring 2021 Annual Report can be found in Appendix 3.

### **7.9.3 Monitor amphibian use of migration crossing structures installed along Project roads**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 21: *Monitor amphibian use of migration crossing structures installed along Project roads.*

A 15 m long 1,000 mm diameter culvert has been installed along the access road to Portage Mountain, following guidance described in Best Management Practices for Amphibians and Reptiles in Urban and Rural Environments in British Columbia (BC MWLAP 2004). Monitoring of amphibian use of the crossing structure was conducted from April 1 through September 30, following the requirements of the Site C Western Toad Management Procedure. That monitoring involved surveys for western toad activity that occur weekly during the caution period of April 1 to May 31 and August 16 to September 30, and daily surveys from June 1 to August 15. No western toad use of the crossing structure has yet been documented, but western toad activity in general has been low.

### **7.9.4 Survey songbird and ground-nesting raptor populations during construction and operations**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 21: *Survey songbird and ground-nesting raptor populations during construction and operations.*

#### **7.9.4.1 Songbirds**

A summary of the songbird monitoring program is presented in Section 6.1.3.1 and the Breeding Bird Follow-up Monitoring – Songbirds 2021 Annual Report can be found in Appendix 2.

#### **7.9.4.2 Ground-nesting raptors**

Ground nesting raptor surveys in 2021 were conducted at cleared portions of the Site C reservoir. Ground nesting raptor surveys were completed up to four times per site over May and

June 2021 to capture early, middle, and late stages of their breeding season. The ground-nesting raptor monitoring 2021 annual report can be found in Appendix 12.

### **7.9.5 Annual reporting beginning 180 days following commencement of construction**

This section summarizes actions taken in accordance with the following requirement of Condition 21: *Require annual reporting during the construction phase and during the first 10 years of operations to EAO, beginning 180 days following commencement of construction.*

Submission of this report satisfies the requirement this portion of Condition 21 for 2021 during the construction phase of the Site C Clean Energy Project.

### **7.10 Status of listed species**

This section of the annual report summarizes the programs implemented in 2021 in accordance with the requirements of Condition 23. For context, the complete requirements of Condition 23 are shown below.

#### **EAC Condition 23**

The EAC Holder must maintain current knowledge of Project effects on the status of listed species by tracking updates for species identified by the Province, the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, and the Species at Risk Act.

Should the status of a listed species change for the worse during the course of the construction of the Project due to Project activities, the EAC Holder, must work with Environment Canada FLNR and MOE to determine if any changes to the associated management plans or monitoring programs are required to mitigate effects of the Project on affected listed species.

#### **7.10.1 Rare Plants**

Please see Section 6.4.4.1 for a summary of ranking changes to rare plants.

#### **7.10.2 Wildlife**

Please see Section 6.4.4.2 for a summary of ranking changes to wildlife.

### **7.11 Ungulate Winter Range**

The complete requirements of Condition 23 are shown below.

#### **EAC Condition 24**

The EAC Holder must identify suitable lands for ungulate winter range by the end of the first year of construction, on BC Hydro-owned lands, or Crown lands, in the vicinity of the Project in consultation with FLNR. If FLNR determines that identified winter range is required, the EAC Holder must identify and maintain suitable BC Hydro- owned lands for ungulate winter range to the satisfaction of FLNR and for the length of time determined by FLNR.



The plan for the identification, retention and maintenance of ungulate winter range was developed through the VWTC and determined to be complete by the Comptroller of Water Resources in 2016. After reservoir filling, it is anticipated that lands identified by BC Hydro as ungulate winter range for elk and deer will total about 515 ha at commencement of operation. A summary of these lands and maps and their locations were provided in the June 5, 2015 VWMMP. These lands are on the north bank of the Peace River between the Halfway River to the west and the dam site to the east.

FLNRORD is in the process of identifying appropriate lands for moose winter range as mitigation for expected Project impacts on moose habitat. BC Hydro has provided \$10,000 to FLNRORD to support the Indigenous consultation necessary to identify and protect appropriate moose winter range.

# Appendices